YITP-SB-12-25

arXiv:1207.1928v1 [math-ph] 9 Jul 2012

Antiperiodic dynamical 6-vertex and periodic 8-vertex models I: Complete spectrum by SOV and matrix elements of the identity on separate states

G. Niccoli[1]

Abstract The spin-1/2 highest weight representations of the dynamical 6-vertex and the standard 8-vertex Yang-Baxter algebra on a finite lattice are considered in this paper. The integrable quantum models associated to the corresponding transfer matrices under antiperiodic boundary conditions for the dynamical 6-vertex and periodic boundary conditions for the 8-vertex case are analyzed by adapting to them Sklyanin's quantum separation of variables (SOV). For these boundary conditions, gauge transformations are defined which allows to use the antiperiodic dynamical 6-vertex transfer matrix as a tool to solve the spectral problem for the periodic 8-vertex transfer matrix. Here, we explicitly construct the SOV representations from the original space of the representations and provide the complete characterization of the eigenvalues and the eigenstates proving also the simplicity of both the transfer matrix spectra. Moreover, for the antiperiodic dynamical 6-vertex model, the matrix elements of the identity on separated states are computed and characterized by determinant formulae of matrices whose elements are given by sums over the SOV spectrum of the product of the coefficients of the separate states. The results here presented define the required setup to extend to both the dynamical 6-vertex and 8-vertex models an approach recently developed in [1]-[5] to compute the form factors of the local operators in the SOV framework, these results will be presented in a future publication. Finally, let us point out that our SOV analysis is done without any need to be reduced to the case of chains with an even number of quantum sites or to fix the coupling constant values to the so-called elliptic roots of unit.

---

[1] YITP, Stony Brook University, New York 11794-3840, USA, niccoli@max2.physics.sunysb.edu

Contents

1 Introduction **3**

2 The dynamical 6-vertex models: spectrum and elementary matrix elements **5**

2.1 The dynamical 6-vertex models 5

2.1.1 Representation spaces of dynamical and spin operators 5

2.1.2 Representations of the dynamical 6-vertex models 6

2.1.3 Quantum determinant 7

2.1.4 Antiperiodic dynamical 6-vertex representations 9

2.1.5 Invariant subspace under antiperiodic 6VD-generators 9

2.2 SOV-representations for $\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(6VD)}$-spectral problem 10

2.2.1 SOV-representations 10

2.2.2 SOV-decomposition of the identity 14

2.3 SOV characterization of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(6VD)}$-spectrum 16

2.4 Action of left separate states on right separate states 17

2.5 Decomposition of the identity in left and right separate basis 19

3 The 8-vertex model: spectrum and elementary matrix elements **19**

3.1 QISM formulation of the 8-vertex model 19

3.2 Gauge transformation from 8-vertex to dynamical 6-vertex models 20

3.3 Reduction to pure spin quantum space 22

3.4 8-vertex transfer matrix spectrum 24

4 Conclusion **25**

References **26**

1 Introduction

In this paper we analyze two classes of lattice integrable quantum models characterized in the quantum inverse scattering method (QISM) [6]-[19] by monodromy matrices which are solutions of the dynamical Yang-Baxter equation w.r.t. the 6-vertex dynamical R-matrix and of the (standard) Yang-Baxter equation w.r.t. the 8-vertex R-matrix, respectively. The representation theory of the dynamical 6-vertex Yang-Baxter algebra was introduced by Felder in [20] by the so-called theory of the elliptic quantum groups, see also [21]. There, it was recognized that the known Boltzmann weights defining the SOS (solid on solid) statistical models [22] when opportunely reorganized in a 4×4 matrix define the R-matrix solution of the dynamical 6-vertex Yang-Baxter algebra. The prototypical elements in these classes of integrable quantum models are constructed by defining representations of the corresponding monodromy matrices on lattices of 2-dimensional representations (spin-1/2 quantum chains). Under homogeneous limits these representations define in the dynamical 6-vertex case the SOS model [22], [23]-[26] while in the 8-vertex case the spin-1/2 XYZ quantum chain [27]-[35]. It is worth recalling that the monodromy matrices of these models are related by the so-called gauge transformations and the corresponding spectral problems under periodic boundary conditions have been analyzed by Bethe ansatz and Q-operator techniques[2]. Historically [23, 22, 24], Baxter has introduced these gauge transformations in order to be able to use Bethe ansatz techniques to analyzed the spectral problem (eigenvalues & eigenstates) of the 8-vertex transfer matrix reducing it to one of 6-vertex type. The use of gauge transformations allows in particular to overcome the problem of the absence of reference states opening the possibility to analyze the 8-vertex spectral problem by using the algebraic Bethe ansatz (ABA) [6]-[7], as pioneered by Takhtajan and Faddeev in [8] while ABA analysis for the SOS model with periodic boundary conditions has been developed in [25]. However, it is worth remarking that the analysis by Bethe ansatz methods of the spectrum of these models leads to the introduction of two constrains a part the general problem related to the proof of the completeness of the spectrum description[3]. The first constrain is on the number of sites of the quantum chains which has to be even. This is required to obtain the commutativity of the dynamical 6-vertex transfer matrix which holds only for the reduction to the total spin zero-eigenspace under periodic boundary conditions. The second constrain is on the allowed values of the coupling constant η of the 8-vertex transfer matrix which has to be restricted to the so-called *cyclic values or elliptic roots of unit* (i.e. when η belongs to an integer square lattice with steps the periods of the theta functions). This is required in order to construct 8-vertex transfer matrix eigenstates by finite sums of the dynamical 6-vertex ones. In addition to the previously described constrains in the algebraic Bethe ansatz framework the lack of a scalar product analogue to the Slavnov's formula [44, 45, 46] is the first fundamental missing step toward the computation of the matrix elements of local operators.

It is then clear the need to overcome these problems in order to compute correlation functions of the XYZ spin-1/2 quantum chain. In the present paper we implement a modified version of Sklyanin's quantum separation of variables (SOV) [47]-[49]. In particular, we derive the complete characterizations of the spectrum for both the antiperiodic[4] dynamical 6-vertex and the periodic 8-vertex transfer matrices and we compute the matrix elements of the identity for general *separate states*[5] which apply in particular for the eigenstates of the dynamical antiperiodic 6-vertex transfer matrix. Let us comment that the existing results [51, 52] for the antiperiodic dynamical 6-vertex model are mainly restricted to the construction of the functional separation of variables of Sklyanin. In this functional version an SOV representation of the dynamical 6-vertex Yang-Baxter algebra is defined on a space of symmetric functions leading only to the description of the wave functions of the transfer matrix eigenstates. In fact, the explicit construction of the SOV representation as well as of the transfer matrix eigenstates in the original representation space of the quantum chain are missing.

---

[2] For the Q-operator construction see [22, 36] and also the series of papers [37]-[42].

[3] In fact, for the periodic 8-vertex transfer matrices the completeness of the spectrum description is verified only by some numerical analysis [43].

[4] This quantum integrable model has been introduced in [50].

[5] See Section 2.4 for the definition.

The results derived in the present paper represent the first fundamental step in an approach to solve integrable quantum models which can be considered as the generalization to the SOV framework of the Lyon group method [46], [53]-[68]. The use of SOV is a strength point of our approach as it works for a large class of integrable quantum models, under simple conditions it leads to the complete construction of both the eigenvalues and the eigenstates of the transfer matrix and the simplicity of the spectrum can be easily shown in this framework. Moreover, the analysis developed in the present paper and that implemented previously in [1]-[5] suggest that this approach can lead to an universal representation of both the spectrum and the dynamics of a class of integrable quantum models which were not entirely solvable with other methods[6]. Indeed, this is the case for all the key integrable quantum models analyzed so far in [1]-[5]. More in detail, in [3] and [4], the XXZ spin 1/2 quantum chain[7] [27]-[35] and the higher spin-s XXX quantum chain[8], both under antiperiodic boundary conditions, have been characterized and the form factors of the local spin operators have been represented in a determinant form. Similar results for the form factors have been obtained previously by this approach in [1, 2] for the lattice quantum sine-Gordon model [7, 19], the chiral Potts model [77]-[88] and the τ_2-model [89]. These results are obtained by using as background the complete SOV spectrum characterization constructed in [90]-[92] for the lattice quantum sine-Gordon model and in[9] [97] for the general cyclic representations of the 6-vertex Yang-Baxter algebra corresponding to the τ_2-model and the chiral Potts model. Moreover, in [5] the SOV setup has been implemented and the matrix elements of some interesting quasi-local string of local operators have been computed for the integrable quantum model associated to the spin-1/2 representations of the reflection algebra [98]-[105], under quite general non-diagonal boundary conditions. In all these models the matrix elements of local operators on *separate states* are characterized by determinant formulae written as simple modifications of those of the identity. The main differences in all these formulae are only due to model dependent features, like the nature of the spectrum of the quantum separate variables and the form of the SOV reconstruction of local operators. Let us comment that in the literature there exist previous results on matrix elements of local operators which even if developed by different approaches made use of quantum separation of variables. The results in Smirnov's paper [106] are of special interest; there, for the quantum integrable Toda chain [47], the form factors of a conjectured[10] basis of local operators are derived in Sklyanin's SOV framework by determinant formulae which confirm the universal picture outlined. It is also worth pointing out that the form factors[11] of the restricted sine-Gordon model at the reflectionless points in the S-matrix formulation[12] [118, 119] admit once again determinant representations and the connection with SOV is established on the basis of the semi-classical analysis of [118], used there also as a tool to overcome the problem[13] of the local field identification.

---

[6] Like the algebraic Bethe ansatz, the coordinate Bethe ansatz [28], [69] and [70], the Baxter Q-operator method [69] and the analytic Bethe ansatz [71]-[72].

[7] Let us comment that previous results on this model with antiperiodic boundary conditions were mainly given by the Q-operator construction [73] and the functional separation of variables [48, 74].

[8] Instead in the periodic chain matrix elements of local operators were compute in the ABA framework in [75, 76].

[9] See [93]-[96] for a first analysis by SOV method of the τ_2-model and some results on form factors in the restricted case of the generalized Ising model.

[10] There this conjecture is required by the absence of a direct SOV reconstruction of local operators. Later a reconstruction has been given in [107] w.r.t. a new set of quantum separate variables defined by a change of variables on the original Sklyanin's ones.

[11] Form factors which have been rederived in [108] also by exploiting previous results established in the series of papers [109]-[112] for the infinite volume limit of the XXZ spin 1/2 chain.

[12] See [113]-[117] and references therein.

[13] This is a longstanding problem in the S-matrix formulation which has been so far addressed by exploiting the description [120]-[123] of massive IQFTs as (superrenormalizable) perturbations of conformal field theories [124]-[128] by relevant local fields. Several results are known which allows to classify the local fields of massive theories (i.e. the solutions to the form factor equations [129]-[144]) in terms of those of the ultraviolet conformal field theories, see for example [145]-[148] and the series of works [149]-[153].

2 The dynamical 6-vertex models: spectrum and elementary matrix elements

2.1 The dynamical 6-vertex models

In the following, we introduce an operator τ whose eigenvalues on the space of the representation coincide with the dynamical parameter t. The aim is to recover a separate description for the dynamical parameter which will be particularly useful in the SOV description of the dynamical 6-vertex antiperiodic spectral problem.

2.1.1 Representation spaces of dynamical and spin operators

Let us introduce a couple of *dynamical* operators τ and $\mathsf{T}_{\tau}^{\pm}$ which satisfy the following commutation relations:

$$\mathsf{T}_{\tau}^{\pm}\tau = (\tau \pm \eta)\mathsf{T}_{\tau}^{\pm}, \tag{2.1}$$

and N copies of (local spin) $sl(2)$ generators S_n^a and let us impose the following commutation relations:

$$[S_n^a, S_{m\neq n}^b] = [S_n^a, \tau] = [S_n^a, \mathsf{T}_{\tau}^{\pm}] = 0 \quad \forall n, m \in \{1, ..., \mathsf{N}\} \text{ and } a, b = x, y, z. \tag{2.2}$$

Then the space of the representation of these dynamical and spin operators can be chosen as it follows:

$$\mathbb{D}_{(\mathsf{6VD}),\mathsf{N}} \equiv \mathbb{D}_{\mathsf{N}} \otimes \underbrace{\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \otimes \otimes \mathbb{C}^2}_{\mathsf{N}}, \tag{2.3}$$

where $\mathbb{D}_{\mathsf{N}}$ is the space of the representation of the dynamical operators which is infinite dimensional in our definition[14]. Here, we have chosen for all the $sl(2)$ generators the spin-1/2 representation; i.e. a 2-dimensional local quantum space $\mathbb{C}^2$ is associated to any site of the chain and the local spin generators are represented by the 2×2 Pauli matrices σ_n^a.

Moreover, we introduce the following definition of left (covectors) and right (vectors) τ-eigenbasis of $\mathbb{D}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathbb{D}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{R}}$, respectively:

$$\langle t(a)| \equiv \langle t(0)|\mathsf{T}_{\tau}^{a}, \quad |t(a)\rangle \equiv \mathsf{T}_{\tau}^{-a}|t(0)\rangle, \;\; \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{2.4}$$

with:

$$\langle t(a)|\tau = t(a)\langle t(a)|, \quad \tau|t(a)\rangle = t(a)|t(a)\rangle, \quad t(a) \equiv -\frac{\eta}{2}a \;\; \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{2.5}$$

where we fix:

$$\langle t(a)|t(b)\rangle = \delta_{a,b} \;\; \forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Moreover, defined the following left and right spin basis:

$$\langle n, h_n|\sigma_n^z = (1-2h_n)\langle n, h_n|, \qquad \sigma_n^z|n, h_n\rangle = (1-2h_n)|n, h_n\rangle, \quad h_n \in \{0, 1\}, \tag{2.6}$$

with

$$\langle n, h_n|n, h_n'\rangle = \delta_{h_n, h_n'},$$

in each local quantum spin chain of the representation, we can introduce the left and right dynamical-spin basis in $\mathbb{D}_{(\mathsf{6VD}),\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathbb{D}_{(\mathsf{6VD}),\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{R}}$, respectively:

$$\otimes_{n=1}^{\mathsf{N}} \langle n, h_n| \otimes \langle t(a)|, \qquad \otimes_{n=1}^{\mathsf{N}} |n, h_n\rangle \otimes |t(a)\rangle, \tag{2.7}$$

---

[14] Note that in the root of unit case, we can define also a cyclic representation for the operator τ. This point in the present formalism will be described elsewhere anyhow for the antiperiodic chain this cyclicity condition does not play a fundamental role as it was in the periodic case for the application of algebraic Bethe ansatz to the 8-vertex model.

composed of common eigenstates of the commuting operators τ and σ_n^z. A scalar product is introduced in the space $\mathbb{D}^{\mathcal{R}}_{(\mathsf{6VD}),\mathsf{N}}$ by defining its action on the elements of the dynamical-spin basis:

$$(\otimes_{n=1}^{\mathsf{N}}|n,h_n\rangle\otimes|t(a)\rangle,\otimes_{n=1}^{\mathsf{N}}|n,h'_n\rangle\otimes|t(a')\rangle)=\delta_{a,a'}\prod_{n=1}^{\mathsf{N}}\delta_{h_n,h'_n}, \tag{2.8}$$

Note that we have defined the representation in a way that the spectrum (eigenvalues) of the operator τ contains that of $-\eta\mathsf{S}/2$ where:

$$\mathsf{S}=\sum_{n=1}^{\mathsf{N}}\sigma_n^z \tag{2.9}$$

is the total z-component of the spin; the reason for that will be clear in the following.

2.1.2 Representations of the dynamical 6-vertex models

Let us define the elliptic dynamical 6-vertex R-matrix[15]:

$$R_{0a}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda|\tau)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc} a(\lambda) & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & b(\lambda|\tau) & c(\lambda|\tau) & 0\\ 0 & c(\lambda|-\tau) & b(\lambda|-\tau) & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a(\lambda)\end{array}\right), \tag{2.10}$$

where $a(\lambda)$, $b(\lambda|\tau)$ and $c(\lambda|\tau)$ are defined by:

$$a(\lambda)=\theta(\lambda+\eta),\quad b(\lambda|\tau)=\frac{\theta(\lambda)\theta(\tau+\eta)}{\theta(\tau)},\quad c(\lambda|\tau)=\frac{\theta(\eta)\theta(\tau+\lambda)}{\theta(\tau)}, \tag{2.11}$$

where we have used the notation:

$$\theta(\lambda)=\theta_1(\lambda|2\omega), \tag{2.12}$$

where $\theta_1(\lambda|2\omega)$ is the standard theta-1 elliptic function of modular parameter 2ω. Then, the R-matrix $R_{1,2}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda|\tau)$ is solution of the following dynamical Yang-Baxter equation:

$$R_{1,2}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_{12}|\tau+\eta\sigma_a^z)R_{1,a}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_1|\tau)R_{2,a}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_2|\tau+\eta\sigma_1^z)=R_{2,a}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_2|\tau)R_{1,a}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_1|\tau+\eta\sigma_2^z)R_{1,2}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_{12}|\tau), \tag{2.13}$$

where $\lambda_{12}\equiv\lambda_1-\lambda_2$. It is possible to introduce the following dynamical 6-vertex monodromy matrix:

$$\mathsf{M}_0^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda|\tau)\equiv R_{0,\mathsf{N}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda|\tau+\eta\sum_{a=1}^{\mathsf{N}-1}\sigma_a^z)\cdots R_{0,1}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda|\tau)\equiv\left(\begin{array}{cc}\mathsf{A}(\lambda|\tau) & \mathsf{B}(\lambda|\tau)\\ \mathsf{C}(\lambda|\tau) & \mathsf{D}(\lambda|\tau)\end{array}\right)_0, \tag{2.14}$$

which is a solution of the same type of dynamical Yang-Baxter equation:

$$R_{1,2}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_{12}|\tau+\eta\mathsf{S})\mathsf{M}_1^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_1|\tau)\mathsf{M}_2^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_2|\tau+\eta\sigma_1^z)=\mathsf{M}_2^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_2|\tau)\mathsf{M}_1^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_1|\tau+\eta\sigma_2^z)R_{1,2}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_{12}|\tau), \tag{2.15}$$

where S is the total z-component of the spin defined in (2.9).

---

[15] The presentation of our results will be done directly in this elliptic case, however, it is interesting to remark that also the trigonometric case corresponding to the following choice of dynamical R-matrix:

$$a(\lambda)=\sinh(\lambda+\eta),\quad b(\lambda|\tau)=\frac{\sinh\lambda\sinh(\tau+\eta)}{\sinh\tau},\quad c(\lambda|\tau)=\frac{\sinh\eta\sinh(\tau+\lambda)}{\sinh\tau},$$

can be similarly described in our approach.

Moreover, it is worth remarking that the following commutation relations hold:

$$[\mathsf{A}(\lambda|\tau),\tau]=[\mathsf{B}(\lambda|\tau),\tau]=[\mathsf{C}(\lambda|\tau),\tau]=[\mathsf{D}(\lambda|\tau),\tau]=0, \tag{2.16}$$

and

$$[\mathsf{A}(\lambda|\tau),\mathsf{S}]=[\mathsf{D}(\lambda|\tau),\mathsf{S}]=0,\ \ [\mathsf{C}(\lambda|\tau),\mathsf{S}]=-2\mathsf{C}(\lambda|\tau),\quad [\mathsf{B}(\lambda|\tau),\mathsf{S}]=2\mathsf{B}(\lambda|\tau). \tag{2.17}$$

Note that defined:

$$\mathsf{T}_\tau^{\pm\sigma_a^z}\equiv\left(\begin{array}{cc}\mathsf{T}_\tau^{\pm} & 0\\ 0 & \mathsf{T}_\tau^{\mp}\end{array}\right)_a, \tag{2.18}$$

we can rewrite the dynamical Yang-Baxter equations in the following form:

$$R_{1,2}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_{12}|\tau+\eta\mathsf{S})\mathsf{M}_1^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_1|\tau)\mathsf{T}_\tau^{\sigma_1^z}\mathsf{M}_2^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_2|\tau)\mathsf{T}_\tau^{-\sigma_1^z}=\mathsf{M}_2^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_2|\tau)\mathsf{T}_\tau^{\sigma_2^z}\mathsf{M}_1^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_1|\tau)\mathsf{T}_\tau^{-\sigma_2^z}R_{1,2}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_{12}|\tau). \tag{2.19}$$

Let us remark that while the dynamical 6-vertex generators $\mathsf{B}(\lambda|\tau)$ and $\mathsf{C}(\lambda|\tau)$ are nilpotent operators of order $\mathsf{N}+1$, for a chain of size N, the generators $\mathsf{A}(\lambda|\tau)$ and $\mathsf{D}(\lambda|\tau)$ are not nilpotent operators. So, from the form of the dynamical 6-vertex Yang-Baxter commutation relations, it is clear that the set spanned by the dynamical parameter t (the eigenvalues of τ) is always an infinite lattice of step η.

Let us point out that we can also define the following monodromy matrix:

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc}\mathcal{A}(\lambda|\tau) & \mathcal{B}(\lambda|\tau)\\ \mathcal{C}(\lambda|\tau) & \mathcal{D}(\lambda|\tau)\end{array}\right)_0=\mathcal{M}_0^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda|\tau)\equiv\mathsf{M}_0^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda|\tau)\ \mathsf{T}_\tau^{\sigma_0^z}, \tag{2.20}$$

note that the $\mathcal{X}(\lambda|\tau)$ ($\mathcal{X}=\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$) are operator functions of τ and $T_\tau^{\pm}$ but for simplicity we omit the explicit dependence from $T_\tau^{\pm}$ in their arguments. For them the dynamical Yang-Baxter equation reads:

$$R_{1,2}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_{12}|\tau+\eta\mathsf{S})\mathcal{M}_1^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_1|\tau)\ \mathcal{M}_2^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_2|\tau)\ =\mathcal{M}_2^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_2|\tau)\ \mathcal{M}_1^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_1|\tau)\ R_{1,2}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_{12}|\tau), \tag{2.21}$$

where we have used that:

$$\mathsf{T}_\tau^{-\sigma_1^z}\mathsf{T}_\tau^{-\sigma_2^z}\ R_{1,2}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_{12}|\tau)\mathsf{T}_\tau^{\sigma_1^z}\mathsf{T}_\tau^{\sigma_2^z}=R_{1,2}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_{12}|\tau). \tag{2.22}$$

2.1.3 Quantum determinant

A fundamental object to define in the dynamical 6-vertex Yang-Baxter algebra is the so-called quantum determinant[16]. In particular, it plays a fundamental role in the construction of the quantum separation of variables for these algebra as we will explain in the following.

Proposition 2.1. *In the dynamical 6-vertex Yang-Baxter algebra, we can introduce the following central quantum determinant:*

$$\begin{aligned}\det_{\mathsf{6VD}}\mathsf{M}(\lambda)&\equiv\frac{\theta(\tau+\eta\mathsf{S})}{\theta(\tau)}\left(\mathsf{A}(\lambda|\tau)\mathsf{D}(\lambda-\eta|\tau+\eta)-\mathsf{B}(\lambda|\tau)\mathsf{C}(\lambda-\eta|\tau-\eta)\right) &(2.23)\\ &=\frac{\theta(\tau+\eta\mathsf{S})}{\theta(\tau)}\left(\mathsf{D}(\lambda|\tau)\mathsf{A}(\lambda-\eta|\tau-\eta)-\mathsf{C}(\lambda|\tau)\mathsf{B}(\lambda-\eta|\tau+\eta)\right) &(2.24)\\ &=\textsc{a}(\lambda)\textsc{d}(\lambda-\eta), &(2.25)\end{aligned}$$

---

[16] See [154] and the historical note [155] for a first proof of the centrality of the quantum determinant in the Yang-Baxter algebra.

where:

$$\textsc{a}(\lambda) \equiv \prod_{n=1}^{\mathsf{N}} a(\lambda - \xi_n), \quad \textsc{d}(\lambda) \equiv \textsc{a}(\lambda - \eta). \tag{2.26}$$

Moreover, the following inversion formula holds:

$$\mathsf{M}_0^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda|\tau) \begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{D}(\lambda-\eta|\tau+\eta) & -\mathsf{B}(\lambda-\eta|\tau+\eta) \\ -\mathsf{C}(\lambda-\eta|\tau-\eta) & \mathsf{A}(\lambda-\eta|\tau-\eta) \end{pmatrix}_0 \frac{\theta(\tau+\eta\mathsf{S})/\theta(\tau)}{\det_{\mathsf{6VD}}\mathsf{M}(\lambda)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_0 . \tag{2.27}$$

Proof. The proof follows by proving the statement for the generic quantum site n and then showing that the product of the local quantum determinants reproduce the complete one. Let us introduce the notation:

$$\begin{aligned} \det R_{0n}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda|\tau) = {} & \left(R_{0n}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}\right)_{11}(\lambda|\tau)\left(R_{0n}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}\right)_{22}(\lambda-\eta|\tau+\eta) \\ & - \left(R_{0n}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}\right)_{12}(\lambda|\tau)\left(R_{0n}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}\right)_{21}(\lambda-\eta|\tau-\eta), \end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

and

$$\mathsf{S}_n \equiv \sum_{a=1}^{n} \sigma_a^z. \tag{2.29}$$

Then it is a simple exercise to verify the identity:

$$\det R_{0,n}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda|\tau+\eta\mathsf{S}_{n-1}) = a(\lambda-\xi_n)a(\lambda-\xi_n-2\eta)\frac{\theta(\tau+\eta\mathsf{S}_{n-1})}{\theta(\tau+\eta\mathsf{S}_n)}, \tag{2.30}$$

once we use the formula[17]:

$$\theta_1(x+y)\theta_1(x-y)\theta_4^2(0) = \theta_3^2(x)\theta_2^2(y) - \theta_2^2(x)\theta_3^2(y) = \theta_1^2(x)\theta_4^2(y) - \theta_4^2(x)\theta_1^2(y). \tag{2.31}$$

Now, by taking the product:

$$\begin{aligned} \textsc{a}(\lambda)\textsc{d}(\lambda-\eta) &= \prod_{n=1}^{\mathsf{N}} a(\lambda-\xi_n)a(\lambda-\xi_n-2\eta) \\ &= \prod_{n=1}^{\mathsf{N}} \left[\frac{\theta(\tau+\eta\mathsf{S}_n)}{\theta(\tau+\eta\mathsf{S}_{n-1})}\det R_{0,n}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda|\tau+\eta\mathsf{S}_{n-1})\right] \\ &= \frac{\theta(\tau+\eta\mathsf{S})}{\theta(\tau)}\det R_{0,\mathsf{N}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda|\tau+\eta\mathsf{S}_{\mathsf{N}-1})\cdots\det R_{0,2}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda|\tau+\eta\mathsf{S}_1)\det R_{0,1}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda|\tau) \\ &= \det_{\mathsf{6VD}}\mathsf{M}(\lambda). \end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

Finally, the inversion formula (2.27) follows from the quantum determinant formulae and from the identities:

$$\mathsf{A}(\lambda|\tau)\mathsf{B}(\lambda-\eta|\tau+\eta) - \mathsf{B}(\lambda|\tau)\mathsf{A}(\lambda-\eta|\tau-\eta) = 0, \tag{2.33}$$

$$\mathsf{D}(\lambda|\tau)\mathsf{C}(\lambda-\eta|\tau-\eta) - \mathsf{C}(\lambda|\tau)\mathsf{D}(\lambda-\eta|\tau+\eta) = 0, \tag{2.34}$$

which directly follows from the dynamical Yang-Baxter equations (2.15). □

---

[17] See for example equation 7 at page 881 of [156].

2.1.4 Antiperiodic dynamical 6-vertex representations

As we will show in the paper it is of particular interest to introduce an antiperiodic version of the dynamical 6-vertex model by introducing the following monodromy matrix:

$$\bar{\mathsf{M}}_0^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_1|\tau) \equiv \sigma_0^x \mathsf{M}_0^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_1|\tau) \tag{2.35}$$

then the dynamical Yang-Baxter equation reads:

$$R_{1,2}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_{12}|-\tau-\eta\mathsf{S})\bar{\mathsf{M}}_1^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_1|\tau)\mathsf{T}_\tau^{\sigma_1^z}\bar{\mathsf{M}}_2^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_2|\tau)\mathsf{T}_\tau^{-\sigma_1^z} = \bar{\mathsf{M}}_2^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_2|\tau)\mathsf{T}_\tau^{\sigma_2^z}\bar{\mathsf{M}}_1^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_1|\tau)\mathsf{T}_\tau^{-\sigma_2^z}R_{1,2}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_{12}|\tau), \tag{2.36}$$

being:

$$\sigma_1^x \otimes \sigma_2^x R_{1,2}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda|y) = R_{1,2}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda|-y)\sigma_1^x \otimes \sigma_2^x. \tag{2.37}$$

It is worth also to define the following monodromy matrix:

$$\bar{\mathcal{M}}_0^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda|\tau) \equiv \bar{\mathsf{M}}_0^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda|\tau)\ \mathsf{T}_\tau^{\sigma_0^z}, \tag{2.38}$$

for it the dynamical Yang-Baxter equation reads:

$$R_{1,2}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_{12}|-\tau-\eta\mathsf{S})\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_1|\tau)\,\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_2|\tau) = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_1^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_2|\tau)\,\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_1|\tau)\,R_{1,2}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_{12}|\tau). \tag{2.39}$$

2.1.5 Invariant subspace under antiperiodic 6VD-generators

Let us define the operator:

$$\mathsf{S}_\tau \equiv \eta\mathsf{S} + 2\tau, \tag{2.40}$$

in $\mathbb{D}_{(\mathsf{6VD}),\mathsf{N}}$ then we denote with $\bar{\mathbb{D}}_{(\mathsf{6VD}),\mathsf{N}}$ the S_τ-eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue zero; i.e. $\bar{\mathbb{D}}_{(\mathsf{6VD}),\mathsf{N}}$ is the linear space defined by the condition that the eigenvalues of the commuting operators -2τ and $\eta\mathsf{S}$ are coinciding. In terms of the dynamical-spin basis the linear (covector) space $\bar{\mathbb{D}}_{(\mathsf{6VD}),\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{L}}$ is generated by the elements[18]:

$$\otimes_{n=1}^{\mathsf{N}} \langle n, h_n| \otimes \langle t_{\mathbf{h}}|, \quad \text{where } t_{\mathbf{h}} \equiv -\frac{\eta}{2}\mathsf{s}_{\mathbf{h}}, \ \ \mathsf{s}_{\mathbf{h}} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{\mathsf{N}}(1-2h_k) \text{ and } \mathbf{h} \equiv (h_1, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}}), \tag{2.41}$$

and the linear (vector) space $\bar{\mathbb{D}}_{(\mathsf{6VD}),\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{R}}$ is generated by the elements:

$$\otimes_{n=1}^{\mathsf{N}} |n, h_n\rangle \otimes |t_{\mathbf{h}}\rangle. \tag{2.42}$$

Note that $\bar{\mathbb{D}}_{(\mathsf{6VD}),\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{R}}$ are 2^{N}-dimensional linear spaces where it holds:

Theorem 2.1. *On the linear spaces $\bar{\mathbb{D}}_{(\mathsf{6VD}),\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{R}}$ are defined left/right finite dimensional representations of the operators:*

$$\mathsf{A}(\lambda|\tau), \ \ \mathsf{D}(\lambda|\tau), \ \ \mathcal{B}(\lambda|\tau), \ \ \mathcal{C}(\lambda|\tau). \tag{2.43}$$

Moreover, the antiperiodic dynamical 6-vertex transfer matrix:

$$\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda|\tau) \equiv tr_0\overline{\mathcal{M}}_0^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda|t) = \mathcal{B}(\lambda|\tau) + \mathcal{C}(\lambda|\tau), \tag{2.44}$$

defines a one parameter family of commuting operators on $\bar{\mathbb{D}}_{(\mathsf{6VD}),\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{R}}$.

---

[18] Note that we are using the simplified notation $t_{\mathbf{h}}$ instead of $t(\mathsf{s}_{\mathbf{h}})$.

Proof. To prove the first statement in the theorem we have to prove that the linear spaces $\bar{\mathbb{D}}^{\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{R}}_{(\mathsf{6VD}),\mathsf{N}}$ are invariant under the action of the operators:

$$\mathsf{A}(\lambda|\tau),\ \mathsf{D}(\lambda|\tau),\ \mathcal{B}(\lambda|\tau),\ \mathcal{C}(\lambda|\tau). \tag{2.45}$$

All what we need are the following commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathsf{A}(\lambda|\tau),\mathsf{S}] &= 0, \qquad [\mathsf{A}(\lambda|\tau),\tau]=0, && (2.46)\\
[\mathsf{A}(\lambda|\tau),\mathsf{S}] &= 0, \qquad [\mathsf{A}(\lambda|\tau),\tau]=0, && (2.47)\\
[\mathcal{B}(\lambda|\tau),\mathsf{S}] &= 2\mathcal{B}(\lambda|\tau) \quad [\mathcal{B}(\lambda|\tau),\tau]=-\eta\mathcal{B}(\lambda|\tau), && (2.48)\\
[\mathcal{C}(\lambda|\tau),\mathsf{S}] &= -2\mathcal{C}(\lambda|\tau),\ [\mathcal{C}(\lambda|\tau),\tau]=\eta\mathcal{C}(\lambda|\tau), && (2.49)
\end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that:

$$[\mathsf{A}(\lambda|\tau),\mathsf{S}_\tau]=[\mathsf{D}(\lambda|\tau),\mathsf{S}_\tau]=[\mathcal{B}(\lambda|\tau),\mathsf{S}_\tau]=[\mathcal{C}(\lambda|\tau),\mathsf{S}_\tau]=0, \tag{2.50}$$

and then $\bar{\mathbb{D}}^{\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{R}}_{(\mathsf{6VD}),\mathsf{N}}$ are invariant under the action of these operators; then $\bar{\mathbb{D}}^{\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{R}}_{(\mathsf{6VD}),\mathsf{N}}$ are invariant also w.r.t. the action of the transfer matrix $\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda|\tau)$. Let us now take the trace of (2.39):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_1|\tau)\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_2|\tau)=\\
&\quad= tr_{12}\left[\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}_1(\lambda_1|\tau)\,\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}_2(\lambda_2|\tau)\right]\\
&\quad= tr_{12}\left[\left(R^{(\mathsf{6VD})}_{1,2}(\lambda_{12}|-\tau-\eta\mathsf{S})\right)^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}_2(\lambda_2|\tau)\,\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}_1(\lambda_1|\tau)R^{(\mathsf{6VD})}_{1,2}(\lambda_{12}|\tau)\right]\\
&\quad= tr_{12}\left[\left(R^{(\mathsf{6VD})}_{1,2}(\lambda_{12}|-\tau-\eta\mathsf{S})\right)^{-1}\overline{\mathsf{M}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}_2(\lambda_2|\tau)\,\overline{\mathsf{M}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}_1(\lambda_1|\tau+\eta\sigma^z_2)\mathsf{T}^{\sigma^z_1}_\tau\mathsf{T}^{\sigma^z_2}_\tau R^{(\mathsf{6VD})}_{1,2}(\lambda_{12}|\tau)\right]\\
&\underset{(2.22)}{=} tr_{12}\left[R^{(\mathsf{6VD})}_{1,2}(\lambda_{12}|\tau)\left(R^{(\mathsf{6VD})}_{1,2}(\lambda_{12}|-\tau-\eta\mathsf{S})\right)^{-1}\overline{\mathsf{M}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}_2(\lambda_2|\tau)\,\overline{\mathsf{M}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}_1(\lambda_1|\tau+\eta\sigma^z_2)\mathsf{T}^{\sigma^z_1}_\tau\mathsf{T}^{\sigma^z_2}_\tau\right] \qquad (2.51)
\end{aligned}$$

which in $\bar{\mathbb{D}}^{\mathcal{L}}_{(\mathsf{6VD}),\mathsf{N}}$ coincides with

$$tr_{12}\left[\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}_2(\lambda_2|\tau)\,\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}_1(\lambda_1|\tau)\right]=\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_1|\tau)\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_2|\tau), \tag{2.52}$$

i.e. the commutativity. ☐

2.2 SOV-representations for $\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}$-spectral problem

2.2.1 SOV-representations

Here, we will show as the standard method to define quantum separation of variable (SOV) representations introduced by Sklyanin [47, 48, 49] for the transfer matrix of 6-vertex Yang-Baxter algebra can be adapted for the dynamical case. In particular, SOV representations for the spectral problem of the antiperiodic $\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda|\tau)$ can be defined as representations where the commutative family of operators $\mathsf{D}(\lambda|\tau)$ (or $\mathsf{A}(\lambda|\tau)$) is pseudo-diagonal and with simple spectrum in the (left/right) 2^{N}-dimensional left/right spaces $\bar{\mathbb{D}}^{\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{R}}_{(\mathsf{6VD}),\mathsf{N}}$. Here, we mean that we can construct explicitly left/right basis of the spaces $\bar{\mathbb{D}}^{\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{R}}_{(\mathsf{6VD}),\mathsf{N}}$ in terms of pseudo $\mathsf{D}(\lambda|\tau)$-eigenstates[19].

---

[19] What we mean for pseudo-eigenstates will be clarified in the following.

In order to make the construction of these basis some preparation is need; let us define the left and right *references states*[20]:

$$\langle \mathbf{0}| \equiv \otimes_{n=1}^{\mathsf{N}} \langle n, h_n = 0| \otimes \langle t_{\mathbf{0}}|, \qquad |\mathbf{1}\rangle \equiv \otimes_{n=1}^{\mathsf{N}} |n, h_n = 1\rangle \otimes |t_{\mathbf{1}}\rangle, \tag{2.53}$$

where we are using the notations $\mathbf{0} \equiv (h_1 = 0, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}} = 0)$ and $\mathbf{1} \equiv (h_1 = 1, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}} = 1)$, so that $t_{\mathbf{0}} \equiv -(\eta \mathsf{N})/2$ and $t_{\mathbf{1}} \equiv (\eta \mathsf{N})/2$ by the definiton (2.41) of $t_{\mathbf{h}}$. Then, we can define the following sets of left and right states:

$$\langle h_1, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}}| \equiv \frac{1}{\mathrm{N}} \langle \mathbf{0}| \prod_{n=1}^{\mathsf{N}} \left(\frac{\mathcal{C}(\xi_n|\tau)}{\mathrm{D}(\xi_n - \eta)} \right)^{h_n}, \tag{2.54}$$

and

$$|h_1, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}}\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\mathrm{N}} \prod_{n=1}^{\mathsf{N}} \left(\frac{\mathcal{C}(\xi_n - \eta|\tau)}{\mathrm{D}(\xi_n - \eta)} \right)^{(1-h_n)} |\mathbf{1}\rangle, \tag{2.55}$$

where $h_n \in \{0, 1\}$, $n \in \{1, ..., \mathsf{N}\}$. Note that the normalization N has been introduced to simply the form of the coupling of the above left and right states. Let us define first the following theta functions with characteristic:

$$\vartheta_j(\lambda) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp\left[2i\pi w \mathsf{N} \left(n + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{j}{\mathsf{N}}\right) + 2i\pi \mathsf{N} \left(n + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{j}{\mathsf{N}}\right) \left(\lambda + \frac{1}{2\mathsf{N}}\right)\right], \tag{2.56}$$

$\mathsf{N} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \in \{0, ..., \mathsf{N} - 1\}$ which satisfies the periodicity conditions:

$$\vartheta_j(\lambda + 1/\mathsf{N}) = -e^{2\pi i (j/\mathsf{N})} \vartheta_j(\lambda), \;\; \vartheta_j(\lambda + 2w) = -e^{-2\pi i \mathsf{N}(w+\lambda)} \vartheta_j(\lambda). \tag{2.57}$$

Then we can fix:

$$\mathrm{N}^2 \equiv c_{\mathsf{N}} \frac{\langle \mathbf{0}| \prod_{n=1}^{\mathsf{N}} \left(\frac{\mathcal{C}(\xi_n - \eta|\tau)}{\mathrm{D}(\xi_n - \eta)} \right)^{(1-h_n)} |\mathbf{1}\rangle}{\det_{\mathsf{N}} \Theta_{ij}^{(\mathbf{h} \equiv \mathbf{0})}}, \tag{2.58}$$

where c_{N} is a normalization constant defined in (2.98) and $\left\| \Theta_{ij}^{(\mathbf{h})} \right\|$ is the $\mathsf{N} \times \mathsf{N}$ matrix of elements:

$$\Theta_{ij}^{(\mathbf{h})} \equiv \vartheta_{i-1}\left(\bar{\xi}_j^{(h_j)}\right), \quad \bar{\xi}_a^{(h_a)} = \xi_a^{(h_a)} + \frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{\mathsf{N} - 1}{2\mathsf{N}} - \frac{1}{\mathsf{N}} \sum_{a=1}^{\mathsf{N}} \xi_a, \quad \xi_a^{(h_a)} = \xi_a - \eta h_a. \tag{2.59}$$

It is simple to verify that the states (2.54) and (2.55) are simultaneous (left/right) eigenstates of τ and $\mathsf{S} \equiv \sum_{a=1}^{\mathsf{N}} \sigma_a^z$:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h_1, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}}|\tau &= t_{\mathbf{h}} \, \langle h_1, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}}|, && (2.60) \\ \langle h_1, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}}|\mathsf{S} &= \mathsf{s}_{\mathbf{h}} \langle h_1, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}}|, && (2.61) \end{aligned}$$

and:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau|h_1, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}}\rangle &= |h_1, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}}\rangle \, t_{\mathbf{h}}, && (2.62) \\ \mathsf{S}|h_1, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}}\rangle &= |h_1, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}}\rangle \mathsf{s}_{\mathbf{h}}. && (2.63) \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, under the following condition on the N-tuple of inhomogeneities $\{\xi_1, ..., \xi_{\mathsf{N}}\} \in \mathbb{C}^{\,\mathsf{N}}$:

$$\xi_a \neq \xi_b^{(h_b)} \;\; \mathrm{mod}(2w) \;\; \forall h_b \in \{0, 1\} \;\; \text{and} \;\; a < b \in \{1, ..., \mathsf{N}\}, \tag{2.64}$$

the following theorem holds:

---

[20] The left and right states of $\bar{\mathbb{D}}^{\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{R}}_{(\mathsf{6VD}),\mathsf{N}}$ with all spin up and down, respectively.

Theorem 2.2. *I) Left SOV-representations Under the condition* (2.64)*, the states* (2.54) *define a basis of pseudo* $\mathsf{D}(\lambda|\tau)$*-eigenstates in* $\bar{\mathbb{D}}^{\mathcal{L}}_{(\mathsf{6VD}),\mathsf{N}}$*; indeed it holds:*

$$\langle h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}|\mathsf{D}(\lambda|\tau)=\mathsf{d}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{h}}(\lambda)\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{N}}\langle\boldsymbol{0}|\prod_{n=1}^{\mathsf{N}}\left(\frac{\mathcal{C}(\xi_n|\tau-\eta)}{\mathsf{D}(\xi_n-\eta)}\right)^{h_n}\right), \tag{2.65}$$

where:

$$\mathsf{d}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{h}}(\lambda)\equiv\frac{\theta(t_{\boldsymbol{0}}-\eta)}{\theta(t_{\boldsymbol{0}}+\eta)}\frac{\theta(t_{\boldsymbol{1}})}{\theta(t_{\boldsymbol{h}})}\mathsf{d}_{\boldsymbol{h}}(\lambda),\ \ \mathsf{d}_{\boldsymbol{h}}(\lambda)\equiv\prod_{n=1}^{\mathsf{N}}\theta(\lambda-\xi_n^{(h_n)}). \tag{2.66}$$

The action of the remaining generators on the generic state $\langle h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}|$ *reads:*

$$\langle h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}|\mathcal{C}(\lambda|\tau)=\sum_{a=1}^{\mathsf{N}}\frac{\theta(\tau-\lambda+\xi_a^{(h_a)})}{\theta(\tau)}\prod_{b\neq a}\frac{\theta(\lambda-\xi_b^{(h_b)})}{\theta(\xi_a^{(h_a)}-\xi_b^{(h_b)})}\mathsf{D}(\xi_a^{(1-h_a)})\langle h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}|\mathsf{T}_a^+, \tag{2.67}$$

$$\langle h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}|\mathcal{B}(\lambda|\tau)=\sum_{a=1}^{\mathsf{N}}\frac{\theta(\tau-\lambda+\xi_a^{(h_a)})}{\theta(\tau)}\prod_{b\neq a}\frac{\theta(\lambda-\xi_b^{(h_b)})}{\theta(\xi_a^{(h_a)}-\xi_b^{(h_b)})}\mathsf{A}(\xi_a^{(1-h_a)})\langle h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}|\mathsf{T}_a^-, \tag{2.68}$$

where:

$$\langle h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}|\mathsf{T}_a^{\pm}=\langle h_1,...,h_a\pm1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}|, \tag{2.69}$$

and $\mathsf{A}(\lambda|\tau)$ *is uniquely defined by the quantum determinant relation.*

II) Right SOV-representations Under the condition (2.64)*, the states* (2.55) *define a basis of pseudo* $\mathsf{D}(\lambda|\tau)$*-eigenstates in* $\bar{\mathbb{D}}^{\mathcal{R}}_{(\mathsf{6VD}),\mathsf{N}}$*; indeed it holds:*

$$\mathsf{D}(\lambda|\tau+\eta)|h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}\rangle=\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{N}}\prod_{n=1}^{\mathsf{N}}\left(\frac{\mathcal{C}(\xi_n-\eta|\tau+\eta)}{\mathsf{D}(\xi_n-\eta)}\right)^{(1-h_n)}|\boldsymbol{1}\rangle\right)\mathsf{d}^{\mathcal{R}}_{\boldsymbol{h}}(\lambda), \tag{2.70}$$

where:

$$\mathsf{d}^{\mathcal{R}}_{\boldsymbol{h}}(\lambda)\equiv\frac{\theta(t_{\boldsymbol{h}}+\eta)}{\theta(t_{\boldsymbol{1}}+\eta)}\mathsf{d}_{\boldsymbol{h}}(\lambda). \tag{2.71}$$

The action of the remaining generators on the generic state $|h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}\rangle$ *reads:*

$$\mathcal{C}(\lambda|\tau)|h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}\rangle=\sum_{a=1}^{\mathsf{N}}\mathsf{T}_a^-\,|h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}\rangle\frac{\theta(\tau-\lambda+\xi_a^{(h_a)})}{\theta(\tau)}\prod_{b\neq a}\frac{\theta(\lambda-\xi_b^{(h_b)})}{\theta(\xi_a^{(h_a)}-\xi_b^{(h_b)})}\mathsf{D}(\xi_a^{(h_a)}), \tag{2.72}$$

$$\mathcal{B}(\lambda|\tau)|h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}\rangle=\sum_{a=1}^{\mathsf{N}}\mathsf{T}_a^+\,|h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}\rangle\frac{\theta(\tau-\lambda+\xi_a^{(h_a)})}{\theta(\tau)}\prod_{b\neq a}\frac{\theta(\lambda-\xi_b^{(h_b)})}{\theta(\xi_a^{(h_a)}-\xi_b^{(h_b)})}\mathsf{A}(\xi_a^{(h_a)}), \tag{2.73}$$

where:

$$\mathsf{T}_a^{\pm}|h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}\rangle=|h_1,...,h_a\pm1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}\rangle, \tag{2.74}$$

and $\mathsf{A}(\lambda|\tau)$ *is uniquely defined by the quantum determinant relation.*

Proof. The proof of the theorem is based on the dynamical Yang-Baxter commutation relations and on the fact that the left and right references states are $\mathsf{D}(\lambda|\tau)$-eigenstates:

$$\langle\mathbf{0}|\mathsf{A}(\lambda|\tau)=\textsc{a}(\lambda)\langle\mathbf{0}|,\quad\langle\mathbf{0}|\mathsf{D}(\lambda|\tau)=\textsc{d}(\lambda|t_{\mathbf{0}})\langle\mathbf{0}|,\quad\langle\mathbf{0}|\mathcal{B}(\lambda|\tau)=\underline{0},\quad\langle\mathbf{0}|\mathcal{C}(\lambda|\tau)\neq\underline{0},\tag{2.75}$$

and similarly:

$$\mathsf{D}(\lambda|\tau)|\mathbf{1}\rangle=|\mathbf{1}\rangle\textsc{a}(\lambda),\quad\mathsf{A}(\lambda|\tau)|\mathbf{1}\rangle=|\mathbf{1}\rangle\textsc{d}(\lambda|t_{\mathbf{0}}),\quad\mathcal{B}(\lambda|\tau)|\mathbf{1}\rangle=\underline{0},\quad\mathcal{C}(\lambda|\tau)|\mathbf{1}\rangle\neq\underline{0},\tag{2.76}$$

where:

$$\textsc{d}(\lambda|t_{\mathbf{0}})\equiv\textsc{d}(\lambda)\frac{\theta(\eta-t_{\mathbf{0}})}{\theta(\eta+t_{\mathbf{0}})}.\tag{2.77}$$

Indeed, to prove that (2.54) and (2.55) are left and right pseudo-eigenstates of $\mathsf{D}(\lambda|\tau)$ as stated in (2.65) and (2.70), we have just to repeat the standard computations in algebraic Bethe ansatz [14] as done in [3]. More in detail, considering the action of $\mathcal{D}(\lambda|\tau)$ on the left states $\langle h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}|$ and following the steps given in the proof of Theorem 3.2 of [3] by using here the dynamical 6-vertex commutation relation:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}(\mu|\tau)\mathcal{D}(\lambda|\tau)=&\left[\mathcal{D}(\lambda|\tau)\mathcal{C}(\mu|\tau)\theta(\lambda-\mu+\eta)\theta(\tau)-\mathcal{D}(\mu|\tau)\mathcal{C}(\lambda|\tau)\theta(\eta)\theta(\tau+\lambda-\mu)\right]\\&\times\frac{1}{\theta(\lambda-\mu)\theta(\tau+\eta)},\end{aligned}\tag{2.78}$$

we get:

$$\langle h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}|\,\mathcal{D}(\lambda|\tau)=\mathsf{d}_{\mathbf{h}}^{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda)\left[\frac{1}{\mathsf{N}}\left(\langle\mathbf{0}|\mathsf{T}_{\tau}^{-}\right)\prod_{n=1}^{\mathsf{N}}\left(\frac{\mathcal{C}(\xi_n|\tau)}{\mathsf{D}(\xi_n-\eta)}\right)^{h_n}\right].\tag{2.79}$$

Similarly, by using the dynamical 6-vertex commutation relation:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}(\lambda|\tau)\mathcal{C}(\mu|\tau)=&\frac{1}{\theta(\mu-\lambda)\theta(\tau+\eta)}[\theta(\mu-\lambda+\eta)\theta(\tau)\mathcal{C}(\mu|\tau)\mathcal{D}(\lambda|\tau)\\&-\theta(\eta)\theta(\tau+\mu-\lambda)\mathcal{C}(\lambda|\tau)\mathcal{D}(\mu|\tau),\end{aligned}\tag{2.80}$$

we get:

$$\mathcal{D}(\lambda|\tau)\,|h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}\rangle=\left(\frac{1}{\mathsf{N}}\prod_{n=1}^{\mathsf{N}}\left(\frac{\mathcal{C}(\xi_n-\eta|\tau)}{\mathsf{D}(\xi_n-\eta)}\right)^{(1-h_n)}\left(\mathsf{T}_{\tau}^{-}\,|\mathbf{1}\rangle\right)\right)\mathsf{d}_{\mathbf{h}}^{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda).\tag{2.81}$$

From the formulae (2.79) and (2.81) by using the commutation relations (2.1), $\mathsf{D}(\lambda|\tau)=\mathcal{D}(\lambda|\tau)\mathsf{T}_{\tau}^{+}$ and $\mathsf{D}(\lambda|\tau+\eta)=\mathsf{T}_{\tau}^{+}\mathcal{D}(\lambda|\tau)$ then the formulae (2.65) and (2.70) simply follow.

Let us prove now that the states $\langle h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}|$ form a set of 2^{N} independent states, i.e. a basis of $\bar{\mathbb{D}}_{(6\mathsf{VD}),\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{L}}$; similarly, we can prove that the states $|h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}\rangle$ form a basis of $\bar{\mathbb{D}}_{(6\mathsf{VD}),\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{R}}$. By definition we have to prove that their linear combination to zero:

$$\sum_{h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}=0}^{1}c_{\mathbf{h}}\langle h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}|=\underline{0}\tag{2.82}$$

holds only if all the coefficients are zeros. Let us denote with $\bar{\mathbf{h}}=\{\bar{h}_1,...,\bar{h}_{\mathsf{N}}\}$ the generic N-tuple in $\{0,1\}^{\mathsf{N}}$ then by applying to both side of (2.82) the operator product:

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\mathsf{N}}\mathcal{D}(\xi_n^{(\bar{k}_n)}|\tau)\ \text{ with }\bar{k}_n=\bar{h}_n+1\,\text{mod}2\in\{0,1\}\tag{2.83}$$

we get:

$$c_{\bar{\mathbf{h}}} \prod_{n=1}^{\mathsf{N}} \mathsf{d}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{\mathbf{h}}}(\xi_n^{(\bar{k}_n)}) \left[\left(\langle \mathbf{0} | \mathsf{T}_{\tau}^{-\mathsf{N}} \right) \prod_{n=1}^{\mathsf{N}} \left(\frac{\mathcal{C}(\xi_n|\tau)}{\mathrm{D}(\xi_n - \eta)} \right)^{\bar{h}_n} \right] = \underline{0} \tag{2.84}$$

which implies $c_{\bar{\mathbf{h}}} = 0$ being:

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\mathsf{N}} \mathsf{d}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{\mathbf{h}}}(\xi_n^{(\bar{k}_n)}) \neq 0, \quad \left[\left(\langle \mathbf{0} | \mathsf{T}_{\tau}^{-\mathsf{N}} \right) \prod_{n=1}^{\mathsf{N}} \left(\frac{\mathcal{C}(\xi_n|\tau)}{\mathrm{D}(\xi_n - \eta)} \right)^{\bar{h}_n} \right] \neq \underline{0}. \tag{2.85}$$

The action of $\mathcal{B}(\xi_n^{(h_n)}|\tau)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\xi_n^{(h_n)}|\tau)$ on the left and right states (2.54) and (2.55) follows by imposing the dynamical Yang-Baxter commutation relations and the quantum determinant relations:

$$\langle h_1, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}} | \det_{\mathsf{6VD}} \mathsf{M}(\lambda) = \mathrm{A}(\lambda)\mathrm{D}(\lambda - \eta) \langle h_1, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}}|, \quad \det_{\mathsf{6VD}} \mathsf{M}(\lambda) | h_1, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}} \rangle = \mathrm{A}(\lambda)\mathrm{D}(\lambda - \eta) | h_1, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}} \rangle, \tag{2.86}$$

where we have used that:

$$\left. \frac{\theta(\tau + \eta \mathsf{S})}{\theta(\tau)} \right|_{\langle h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}|, |h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}\rangle} = \frac{\theta(-t_{\mathbf{h}})}{\theta(t_{\mathbf{h}})} = -1, \tag{2.87}$$

and in the quantum determinant $\det_{\mathsf{6VD}} \mathsf{M}(\lambda)$ we use the identities:

$$\mathcal{A}(\lambda|\tau)\mathcal{D}(\lambda - \eta|\tau) - \mathcal{B}(\lambda|\tau)\mathcal{C}(\lambda - \eta|\tau) = \mathsf{A}(\lambda|\tau)\mathsf{D}(\lambda - \eta|\tau + \eta) - \mathsf{B}(\lambda|\tau)\mathsf{C}(\lambda - \eta|\tau - \eta), \tag{2.88}$$

$$\mathcal{D}(\lambda|\tau)\mathcal{A}(\lambda - \eta|\tau) - \mathcal{C}(\lambda|\tau)\mathcal{B}(\lambda - \eta|\tau) = \mathsf{D}(\lambda|\tau)\mathsf{A}(\lambda - \eta|\tau - \eta) - \mathsf{C}(\lambda|\tau)\mathsf{B}(\lambda - \eta|\tau + \eta). \tag{2.89}$$

Finally, the left (2.67)-(2.68) and right (2.72)-(2.73) representations of $\mathcal{B}(\lambda|\tau)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\lambda|\tau)$ are just interpolation formulae of the values in the special points $\{\xi_1^{(h_1)}, ..., \xi_{\mathsf{N}}^{(h_{\mathsf{N}})}\}$ which holds for elliptic polynomials as illustrated for example in Appendix A of [157]. ☐

2.2.2 SOV-decomposition of the identity

The previous results allow to write the following spectral decomposition of the identity $\mathbb{I}$:

$$\mathbb{I} \equiv \sum_{h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}=0}^{1} \mu_{\mathbf{h}} | h_1, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}} \rangle \langle h_1, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}} |, \tag{2.90}$$

in terms of the left and right SOV-basis. Here,

$$\mu_{\mathbf{h}} \equiv \frac{1}{\langle h_1, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}} | h_1, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}} \rangle}, \tag{2.91}$$

is the analogous of the so-called Sklyanin's measure; which is discrete in these representations and defined by the following proposition:

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\langle h_1, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}}|$ be the generic covector (2.54) and $|k_1, ..., k_{\mathsf{N}}\rangle$ be the generic vector (2.55), then it holds:*

$$\langle h_1, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}} | k_1, ..., k_{\mathsf{N}} \rangle = \frac{\prod_{c=1}^{\mathsf{N}} \delta_{h_c, k_c}}{\det_{\mathsf{N}} \Theta_{ij}^{(\boldsymbol{h})}}, \tag{2.92}$$

where $\Theta_{ij}^{(\boldsymbol{h})}$ is the $\mathsf{N} \times \mathsf{N}$ matrix defined in (2.59). Then, the SOV-decomposition of the identity explicitly reads:

$$\mathbb{I} \equiv \sum_{h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}=0}^{1} \det_{\mathsf{N}} \Theta_{ij}^{(\boldsymbol{h})} \; | h_1, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}} \rangle \langle h_1, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}} |. \tag{2.93}$$

Proof. The fact that $\langle h_1, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}}|$ and $|k_1, ..., k_{\mathsf{N}}\rangle$ are simultaneous eigenstates of τ with eigenvalues $t_{\mathbf{h}}$ and $t_{\mathbf{k}}$, respectively, implies that the l.h.s. of (2.92) is zero unless:

$$\sum_{c=1}^{\mathsf{N}} h_c = \sum_{c=1}^{\mathsf{N}} k_c. \tag{2.94}$$

Let us assume now that $\mathbf{h}\neq\mathbf{k}$ but that they satisfy the condition (2.94). Under these conditions it is easly to understand that there exists at least one $n \in \{1, ..., \mathsf{N}\}$ such that $h_n = 1$ and $k_n = 0$ and then the l.h.s. of (2.92) contains the product of operators $\mathcal{C}(\xi_n|\tau)\mathcal{C}(\xi_n - \eta|\tau)$ which is zero for the standard 6-vertex annihilation identities. Then, as stated in (2.92), $\langle h_1, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}}|k_1, ..., k_{\mathsf{N}}\rangle$ is zero for $\mathbf{h}\neq\mathbf{k}$; so we are left with the computations for $\mathbf{h}=\mathbf{k}$. In order to compute them, let us compute the matrix elements:

$$x_a \equiv \langle h_1, ..., h_a = 0, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}}|\, \mathcal{C}(\xi_a|\tau)|h_1, ..., h_a = 1, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}}\rangle, \tag{2.95}$$

where $a \in \{1, ..., \mathsf{N}\}$. Then using the left action of the operator $\mathcal{C}(\eta_a|\tau)$ we get:

$$x_a = \textsc{d}(\xi_a - \eta)\langle h_1, ..., h_a = 1, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}}|h_1, ..., h_a = 1, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}}\rangle, \tag{2.96}$$

while using the right action of the operator $\mathcal{C}(\eta_a|\tau)$ and the orthogonality of right and left pseudo D-eigenstates corresponding to different eigenvalues we get:

$$\begin{aligned} x_a = &\prod_{b\neq a} \frac{\theta(\xi_a - \xi_b + \eta h_b)}{\theta(\xi_a - \eta - \xi_b + \eta h_b)} \textsc{d}(\xi_a - \eta)\langle h_1, ..., h_a = 0, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}}|h_1, ..., h_a = 0, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}}\rangle \\ &\times \frac{\theta(t_{\mathbf{h}(h_a=1)} - \eta)}{\theta(t_{\mathbf{h}(h_a=1)})}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.97}$$

and so:

$$\frac{\langle h_1, ..., h_a = 1, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}}|h_1, ..., h_a = 1, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}}\rangle}{\langle h_1, ..., h_a = 0, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}}|h_1, ..., h_a = 0, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}}\rangle} = \frac{\theta(t_{\mathbf{h}(h_a=0)})}{\theta(t_{\mathbf{h}(h_a=1)})} \prod_{b\neq a, b=1}^{\mathsf{N}} \frac{\theta(\xi_a^{(0)} - \xi_b^{(h_b)})}{\theta(\xi_a^{(1)} - \xi_b^{(h_b)})},$$

from which the proposition simply follows when we use the identity[21]:

$$\det_{\mathsf{N}} \Theta_{ij}^{(\mathbf{h})} = c_{\mathsf{N}}\, \theta(\sum_{a=1}^{\mathsf{N}} \bar{\xi}_a^{(h_a)} - \frac{\mathsf{N} - 1}{2}) \prod_{1\leq a<b\leq \mathsf{N}} \theta(\xi_a^{(h_a)} - \xi_b^{(h_b)}), \tag{2.98}$$

and we recall that:

$$t_{\mathbf{h}} = -\sum_{a=1}^{\mathsf{N}} \bar{\xi}_a^{(h_a)} + \frac{\mathsf{N} - 1}{2}, \tag{2.99}$$

and that the choice of the normalization $\textsc{n}$ implies:

$$\langle \mathbf{0}|\mathbf{0}\rangle = \frac{1}{\det_{\mathsf{N}} \Theta_{ij}^{(\mathbf{0})}}. \tag{2.100}$$

$\square$

---

[21] See for example Proposition 4 of [157] for a proof of it.

2.3 SOV characterization of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}$-spectrum

Let us denote with $\Sigma_{\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}}$ the set of the eigenvalue functions $\mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{6VD}}(\lambda)$ of the transfer matrix $\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda|\tau)$, then the following characterization of the $\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}$-spectrum (eigenvalues & eigenstates) in quantum separation of variables holds:

Theorem 2.3. *For any fixed* N*-tuple of inhomogeneities* $\{\xi_1, ..., \xi_{\mathsf{N}}\} \in \mathbb{C}^{\ \mathsf{N}}$ *satisfying* (2.64) *the spectrum of* $\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda|\tau)$ *in* $\bar{\mathbb{D}}^{\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{R}}_{(\mathsf{6VD}),\mathsf{N}}$ *is simple and* $\Sigma_{\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}}$ *coincides with the set of functions of the form:*

$$\mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{6VD}}(\lambda) = \sum_{a=1}^{\mathsf{N}} \frac{\theta(t_{\boldsymbol{0}} - \lambda + \xi_a)}{\theta(t_{\boldsymbol{0}})} \prod_{b\neq a} \frac{\theta(\lambda - \xi_b)}{\theta(\xi_a - \xi_b)} \mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{6VD}}(\xi_a) \tag{2.101}$$

which are solutions of the discrete system of equations:

$$\mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{6VD}}(\xi_a^{(0)})\mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{6VD}}(\xi_a^{(1)}) = \mathrm{A}(\xi_a^{(0)})\mathrm{D}(\xi_a^{(1)}), \quad \forall a \in \{1, ..., \mathsf{N}\}. \tag{2.102}$$

I) The right $\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}$*-eigenstate corresponding to* $\mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{6VD}}(\lambda) \in \Sigma_{\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}}$ *is characterized by:*

$$|\mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{6VD}}\rangle = \sum_{h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}=0}^{1} \prod_{a=1}^{\mathsf{N}} Q_{\mathsf{t}}(\xi_a^{(h_a)}) \det_{\mathsf{N}} \Theta_{ij}^{(\boldsymbol{h})} \ |h_1, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}}\rangle, \tag{2.103}$$

up to an overall normalization, where the coefficients are characterized by:

$$Q_{\mathsf{t}}(\xi_a^{(1)})/Q_{\mathsf{t}}(\xi_a^{(0)}) = \mathsf{t}_{6VD}(\xi_a^{(0)})/\mathrm{D}(\xi_a^{(1)}). \tag{2.104}$$

II) The left $\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}$*-eigenstate corresponding to* $\mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{6VD}}(\lambda) \in \Sigma_{\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}}$ *is characterized by:*

$$\langle\mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{6VD}}| = \sum_{h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}=0}^{1} \prod_{a=1}^{\mathsf{N}} \bar{Q}_{\mathsf{t}}(\xi_a^{(h_a)}) \det_{\mathsf{N}} \Theta_{ij}^{(\boldsymbol{h})} \ \langle h_1, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}}|, \tag{2.105}$$

up to an overall normalization, where the coefficients are characterized by:

$$\bar{Q}_{\mathsf{t}}(\xi_a^{(1)})/\bar{Q}_{\mathsf{t}}(\xi_a^{(0)}) = \mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{6VD}}(\xi_a^{(0)})/\mathrm{A}(\xi_a^{(0)}). \tag{2.106}$$

Proof. Let $\langle\mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{6VD}}|$ be a $\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}$-eigenstate corresponding to the $\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}$-eigenvalue $\mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{6VD}}(\lambda)$, then the coefficients (*wave-functions*):

$$\Psi_t(\mathbf{h}) \equiv \langle\mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{6VD}}|\, h_1, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}}\rangle \tag{2.107}$$

of $\langle\mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{6VD}}|$ in the right SOV-basis satisfy the equations:

$$\mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{6VD}}(\xi_n^{(h_n)})\Psi_t(\mathbf{h}) \ = \ \mathrm{A}(\xi_n^{(h_n)})\Psi_t(\mathsf{T}_n^+(\mathbf{h})) + \mathrm{D}(\xi_n^{(h_n)})\Psi_t(\mathsf{T}_n^-(\mathbf{h})), \tag{2.108}$$

for any $n \in \{1, ..., \mathsf{N}\}$ and $\mathbf{h} \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathsf{N}}$, where we have denoted:

$$\mathsf{T}_n^{\pm}(\mathbf{h}) \equiv (h_1, \ldots, h_n \pm 1, \ldots, h_{\mathsf{N}}). \tag{2.109}$$

These equations are obtained by computing the matrix elements:

$$\langle\mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{6VD}}|\, \overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\xi_n^{(h_n)}|\tau)|h_1, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}}\rangle. \tag{2.110}$$

In particular, acting with $\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\xi_n^{(h_n)}|\tau)$ on $\langle \mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{6VD}}|$ the l.h.s. of (2.108) is reproduced while acting on $|h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}\rangle$ by using the SOV representation of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\xi_n^{(h_n)}|\tau)$ the r.h.s. of (2.108) is reproduced. Moreover, the representation (2.101) for the $\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}$-eigenvalue functions $\mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{6VD}}(\lambda)$ follows by computing the matrix element:

$$\langle \mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{6VD}}|\,\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda|\tau)|\mathbf{0}\rangle \tag{2.111}$$

and by using (2.108) to rewrite the r.h.s. in the desired form.

Then in the SOV representations the spectral problem for $\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda|\tau)$ is reduced to a discrete system of 2^{N} Baxter-like equations (2.108) in the class of function of the form (2.101). Taking into account the identities:

$$\mathrm{A}(\xi_n^{(1)})=\mathrm{D}(\xi_n^{(0)})=0, \tag{2.112}$$

this system coincides with a system of homogeneous equations:

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} \mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{6VD}}(\xi_n^{(0)}) & -\mathrm{A}(\xi_n^{(0)}) \\ -\mathrm{D}(\xi_n^{(1)}) & \mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{6VD}}(\xi_n^{(1)}) \end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c} \Psi_t(h_1,...,h_n=0,...,h_1) \\ \Psi_t(h_1,...,h_n=1,...,h_1) \end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right), \tag{2.113}$$

for any $n\in\{1,...,\mathsf{N}\}$ with $h_{m\neq n}\in\{0,1\}$. The condition $\mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{6VD}}(\lambda)\in\Sigma_{\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}}$ is then equivalent to the requirement that the determinants of the 2×2 matrices in (2.113) must be zero for any $n\in\{1,...,\mathsf{N}\}$, i.e. the equation (2.102). On the other hand being:

$$\mathrm{A}(\xi_n^{(0)})\neq 0 \text{ and } \mathrm{D}(\xi_n^{(1)})\neq 0, \tag{2.114}$$

the rank of the matrices in (2.113) is 1 and then up to an overall normalization the solution is unique:

$$\frac{\Psi_t(h_1,...,h_n=1,...,h_1)}{\Psi_t(h_1,...,h_n=0,...,h_1)}=\frac{\mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{6VD}}(\xi_n^{(0)})}{\mathrm{A}(\xi_n^{(0)})}, \tag{2.115}$$

for any $n\in\{1,...,\mathsf{N}\}$ with $h_{m\neq n}\in\{0,1\}$. This implies that given a $\mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{6VD}}(\lambda)\in\Sigma_{\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}}$ there exist (up to normalization) one and only one corresponding $\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}$-eigenstate $\langle \mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{6VD}}|$ with coefficients which have the factorized form given in (2.105)-(2.106) and then the $\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}$-spectrum is simple. The proof for the right eigenstates is given in a similar way. ☐

Let us remark that the previous theorem completely characterize the spectrum of the transfer matrix $\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda|\tau)$ in $\bar{\mathbb{D}}_{(\mathsf{6VD}),\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{R}}$. However, a reformulation of the SOV characterization of the $\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}$-spectrum by functional equations can be important for practical aims. One standard way to accomplish this result is by the construction of a Baxter Q-operator whose functional equation reduces to the finite system of Baxter-like equations (2.108) when computed in the eigenvalues of the D-zero operators. This construction is currently under analysis and it can be developed along the same lines presented in [73] for the antiperiodic XXZ spin 1/2 chain for general values of the coupling η. For the elliptic roots of unit case, we can construct the functional equation directly by using cyclic representations for the operator τ; these interesting issues will be developed in a forthcoming paper.

2.4 Action of left separate states on right separate states

As for the others quantum integrable models analyzed by SOV in [1]-[5], a special role is played by the left and right *separate states* in the SOV representations. These are states which have factorized coefficients in the SOV representations similar to those of the transfer matrix eigenstates; more in detail we say that a covector $\langle\alpha| \in \bar{\mathbb{D}}^{\mathcal{L}}_{(6\mathsf{VD}),\mathsf{N}}$ and a vector $|\beta\rangle \in \bar{\mathbb{D}}^{\mathcal{R}}_{(6\mathsf{VD}),\mathsf{N}}$ are *separate states* if they admit the following SOV-decompositions:

$$\langle\alpha| = \sum_{h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}=0}^{1} \prod_{a=1}^{\mathsf{N}} \alpha_a(\xi_a^{(h_a)}) \det_{\mathsf{N}} \Theta_{ij}^{(\mathbf{h})} \langle h_1, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}}|, \tag{2.116}$$

$$|\beta\rangle = \sum_{h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}=0}^{1} \prod_{a=1}^{\mathsf{N}} \beta_a(\xi_a^{(h_a)}) \det_{\mathsf{N}} \Theta_{ij}^{(\mathbf{h})} |h_1, ..., h_{\mathsf{N}}\rangle. \tag{2.117}$$

The main interest toward these states is the simple determinant form for the action of left separate states on the right ones characterized by the following:

Proposition 2.3. *The left $\langle\alpha|$ and the right $|\beta\rangle$ separate states satisfy the identities:*

$$\langle\alpha|\beta\rangle = \det_{\mathsf{N}} ||\mathcal{F}_{a,b}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|| \quad \textit{with} \ \mathcal{F}_{a,b}^{(\alpha,\beta)} \equiv \sum_{h=0}^{1} \alpha_a(\xi_a^{(h)})\beta_a(\xi_a^{(h)})\vartheta_{b-1}\left(\bar{\xi}_a^{(h)}\right). \tag{2.118}$$

Then the matrix elements of the left and right $\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(6\mathsf{VD})}$-eigenstates corresponding to generic eigenvalues:

$$\mathsf{t}_{6\mathsf{VD}}(\lambda) \in \Sigma_{\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(6\mathsf{VD})}}, \quad \mathsf{t}'_{6\mathsf{VD}}(\lambda) \in \Sigma_{\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(6\mathsf{VD})}}, \tag{2.119}$$

have the following simple form:

$$\langle \mathsf{t}_{6\mathsf{VD}}|\mathsf{t}'_{6\mathsf{VD}}\rangle = \delta_{\mathsf{t},\mathsf{t}'} \det_{\mathsf{N}} ||\mathcal{F}_{a,b}^{(\mathsf{t},\mathsf{t})}||, \ \ \mathcal{F}_{a,b}^{(\mathsf{t},\mathsf{t})} \equiv \sum_{l=0}^{1} \bar{Q}_{\mathsf{t}}(\xi_a^{(l)})Q_{\mathsf{t}}(\xi_a^{(l)})\vartheta_{b-1}\left(\bar{\xi}_a^{(l)}\right), \tag{2.120}$$

where we have used the notation $\delta_{\mathsf{t},\mathsf{t}'} = \{0$ *for* $\mathsf{t}_{6\mathsf{VD}}(\lambda) \neq \mathsf{t}'_{6\mathsf{VD}}(\lambda) \in \Sigma_{\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(6\mathsf{VD})}}, 1$ *for* $\mathsf{t}_{6\mathsf{VD}}(\lambda) = \mathsf{t}'_{6\mathsf{VD}}(\lambda) \in \Sigma_{\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(6\mathsf{VD})}}\}$.

Proof. From the SOV-decomposition, we have:

$$\langle\alpha|\beta\rangle = \sum_{h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}=0}^{1} \det_{\mathsf{N}} \Theta_{ij}^{(\mathbf{h})} \prod_{a=1}^{\mathsf{N}} \alpha_a(\xi_a^{(h_a)})\beta_a(\xi_a^{(h_a)}), \tag{2.121}$$

from this formula by using the multilinearity of the determinant w.r.t. the rows we prove the first identity in the proposition. The presence of the delta in (2.120) simply follows from the identities:

$$\mathsf{t}_{6\mathsf{VD}}(\lambda)\langle \mathsf{t}_{6\mathsf{VD}}|\mathsf{t}'_{6\mathsf{VD}}\rangle = \langle \mathsf{t}_{6\mathsf{VD}}|\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(6\mathsf{VD})}(\lambda|\tau)|\mathsf{t}'_{6\mathsf{VD}}\rangle = \mathsf{t}'_{6\mathsf{VD}}(\lambda)\langle \mathsf{t}_{6\mathsf{VD}}|\mathsf{t}'_{6\mathsf{VD}}\rangle \tag{2.122}$$

where the l.h.s. is obtained acting on the left state with $\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(6\mathsf{VD})}(\lambda|\tau)$ and the r.h.s. is obtained acting on the right state with $\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(6\mathsf{VD})}(\lambda|\tau)$. Indeed for $\mathsf{t}_{6\mathsf{VD}}(\lambda) \neq \mathsf{t}'_{6\mathsf{VD}}(\lambda)$ the identity implies:

$$\langle \mathsf{t}_{6\mathsf{VD}}|\mathsf{t}'_{6\mathsf{VD}}\rangle = 0. \tag{2.123}$$

Finally, for $\mathsf{t}_{6\mathsf{VD}}(\lambda) = \mathsf{t}'_{6\mathsf{VD}}(\lambda)$ the form of the matrix elements just follow recalling that the eigenstates of the transfer matrix are indeed separate states. ☐

2.5 Decomposition of the identity in left and right separate basis

The results of the previous section allow us to write the decomposition of the identity in left and right basis of separate states. In order to define such basis let us introduce the following natural isomorphism between the sets $\{0,1\}^{\mathsf{N}}$ and $\{1,...,2^{\mathsf{N}}\}$:

$$\varkappa:\mathbf{h}\equiv\{h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}\}\in\{0,1\}^{\mathsf{N}}\rightarrow\varkappa(\mathbf{h})\equiv 1+\sum_{a=1}^{\mathsf{N}}2^{(a-1)}h_a\in\{1,...,2^{\mathsf{N}}\},\tag{2.124}$$

and let us denote with $\varkappa_a^{-1}(i)\in\{0,1\}$ the entry $a\in\{1,...,\mathsf{N}\}$ in the N-tuple $\varkappa^{-1}(i)$ associated by $\varkappa^{-1}$ to any integer $i\in\{1,...,2^{\mathsf{N}}\}$. Then, under the conditions:

$$\det_{2^{\mathsf{N}}}||M_{i,j}^{(\alpha)}|| \neq 0, \quad M_{i,j}^{(\alpha)}\equiv\prod_{a=1}^{\mathsf{N}}\alpha_a^{(j)}(\xi_a^{(\varkappa_a^{-1}(i))})\;\forall i,j\in\{1,...,2^{\mathsf{N}}\},\tag{2.125}$$

$$\det_{2^{\mathsf{N}}}||M_{i,j}^{(\beta)}|| \neq 0, \quad M_{i,j}^{(\beta)}\equiv\prod_{a=1}^{\mathsf{N}}\beta_a^{(j)}(\xi_a^{(\varkappa_a^{-1}(i))})\;\forall i,j\in\{1,...,2^{\mathsf{N}}\},\tag{2.126}$$

the sets of covectors $\langle\alpha_j|$ and vector $|\beta_j\rangle$ defined by:

$$\langle\alpha_j|=\sum_{h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}=0}^{1}\prod_{a=1}^{\mathsf{N}}\alpha_a^{(j)}(\xi_a^{(h_a)})\det_{\mathsf{N}}\Theta_{ij}^{(\mathbf{h})}\langle h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}|\quad\forall j\in\{1,...,2^{\mathsf{N}}\},\tag{2.127}$$

$$|\beta_j\rangle=\sum_{h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}=0}^{1}\prod_{a=1}^{\mathsf{N}}\beta_a^{(j)}(\xi_a^{(h_a)})\det_{\mathsf{N}}\Theta_{ij}^{(\mathbf{h})}|h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}\rangle\quad\forall j\in\{1,...,2^{\mathsf{N}}\},\tag{2.128}$$

generate separate basis of $\bar{\mathbb{D}}_{(\mathsf{6VD}),\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\bar{\mathbb{D}}_{(\mathsf{6VD}),\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{R}}$, respectively. Moreover, defined:

$$\mathbf{N_h}\equiv\{\mathbf{k}\in\{0,1\}^{\mathsf{N}}:\det_{\mathsf{N}}||\mathcal{F}_{a,b}^{(\alpha_{\varkappa(\mathbf{h})},\beta_{\varkappa(\mathbf{k})})}||\neq 0\},\tag{2.129}$$

then the following decomposition of the identity is implied on these separate basis:

$$\mathbb{I}=\sum_{\mathbf{h}\in\{0,1\}^{\mathsf{N}}}\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbf{N_h}}\left(\det_{\mathsf{N}}||\mathcal{F}_{a,b}^{(\alpha_{\varkappa(\mathbf{h})},\beta_{\varkappa(\mathbf{k})})}||\right)^{-1}|\beta_{\varkappa(\mathbf{k})}\rangle\langle\alpha_{\varkappa(\mathbf{h})}|,\tag{2.130}$$

which reads:

$$\mathbb{I}=\sum_{\mathsf{t}(\lambda)\in\Sigma_{\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}}}\left(\det_{\mathsf{N}}||\mathcal{F}_{a,b}^{(\mathsf{t},\mathsf{t})}||\right)^{-1}|\mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{6VD}}\rangle\langle\mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{6VD}}|,\tag{2.131}$$

for the representations for which the antiperiodic transfer matrix $\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda|\tau)$ is proven to be diagonalizable.

3 The 8-vertex model: spectrum and elementary matrix elements

3.1 QISM formulation of the 8-vertex model

Let us recall the characterization in terms of QISM of the XYZ spin-1/2 quantum chain. The 8-vertex R-matrix reads:

$$R_{0a}^{(\mathsf{8V})}(\lambda)=\begin{pmatrix}\mathsf{a}(\lambda)&0&0&\mathsf{d}(\lambda)\\0&\mathsf{b}(\lambda)&\mathsf{c}(\lambda)&0\\0&\mathsf{c}(\lambda)&\mathsf{b}(\lambda)&0\\\mathsf{d}(\lambda)&0&0&\mathsf{a}(\lambda)\end{pmatrix},\tag{3.1}$$

where:

$$\mathsf{a}(\lambda)=\frac{\theta_1(\lambda+\eta|2\omega)\theta_4(\lambda|2\omega)\theta_4(\eta|2\omega)}{\theta_4(\lambda+\eta|2\omega)\theta_4(0|2\omega)},\quad \mathsf{b}(\lambda)=\frac{\theta_1(\lambda|2\omega)\theta_4(\eta|2\omega)}{\theta_4(0|2\omega)},\tag{3.2}$$

$$\mathsf{c}(\lambda)=\frac{\theta_4(\lambda|2\omega)\theta_4(\eta|2\omega)}{\theta_4(0|2\omega)},\quad \mathsf{d}(\lambda)=\frac{\theta_1(\lambda+\eta|2\omega)\theta_1(\lambda|2\omega)\theta_1(\eta|2\omega)}{\theta_4(\lambda+\eta|2\omega)\theta_4(0|2\omega)},\tag{3.3}$$

is solution of the Yang-Baxter equation:

$$R_{12}^{(\mathsf{8V})}(\lambda_{12})R_{1a}^{(\mathsf{8V})}(\lambda_1)R_{2a}^{(\mathsf{8V})}(\lambda_2)=R_{2a}^{(\mathsf{8V})}(\lambda_2)R_{1a}^{(\mathsf{8V})}(\lambda_1)R_{12}^{(\mathsf{8V})}(\lambda_{12}).\tag{3.4}$$

Then the monodromy matrix of the spin 1/2 representations is defined by:

$$\mathsf{M}_0^{(\mathsf{8V})}(\lambda)\equiv R_{0\mathsf{N}}^{(\mathsf{8V})}(\lambda-\xi_{\mathsf{N}})\cdots R_{01}^{(\mathsf{8V})}(\lambda-\xi_1)\equiv\begin{pmatrix}\mathsf{A}^{(\mathsf{8V})}(\lambda) & \mathsf{B}^{(\mathsf{8V})}(\lambda)\\ \mathsf{C}^{(\mathsf{8V})}(\lambda) & \mathsf{D}^{(\mathsf{8V})}(\lambda)\end{pmatrix},\tag{3.5}$$

with the parameters ξ_a which are the inhomogeneities. The monodromy matrix $\mathsf{M}_0^{(\mathsf{8V})}(\lambda)$ is itself solution of the Yang-Baxter equation:

$$R_{12}^{(\mathsf{8V})}(\lambda_{12})\mathsf{M}_1^{(\mathsf{8V})}(\lambda_1)\mathsf{M}_2^{(\mathsf{8V})}(\lambda_2)=\mathsf{M}_2^{(\mathsf{8V})}(\lambda_2)\mathsf{M}_1^{(\mathsf{8V})}(\lambda_1)R_{12}^{(\mathsf{8V})}(\lambda_{12}),\tag{3.6}$$

and then the corresponding transfer matrix:

$$\mathsf{T}^{(\mathsf{8V})}(\lambda)=tr_0\mathsf{M}_0^{(\mathsf{8V})}(\lambda)\tag{3.7}$$

defines a one parameter family of commuting operators; the Hamiltonian of the XYZ spin 1/2 quantum chain is obtained in the homogeneous limit by:

$$H_{XYZ}=2\sinh\eta\left.\frac{\partial\ln\mathsf{T}^{(\mathsf{8V})}(\lambda)}{\partial\lambda}\right|_{\lambda=0,\xi_n=0}-\mathsf{N}\cosh\eta.\tag{3.8}$$

3.2 Gauge transformation from 8-vertex to dynamical 6-vertex models

The spectral problem of the 8-vertex transfer matrix $\mathsf{T}^{(\mathsf{8V})}(\lambda)$ has been reduced to one of 6-vertex type by the gauge transformations introduced by Baxter in [22]. This can be done by modifying the 6-vertex algebra to the dynamical one. In detail the following gauge transformation exists:

$$R_{0a}^{(\mathsf{8V})}(\lambda_{12})S_0(\lambda_1|\tau)S_a(\lambda_2|\tau+\eta\sigma_0^z)=S_a(\lambda_2|\tau)S_0(\lambda_1|\tau+\eta\sigma_a^z)R_{0a}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda_{12}|\tau),\tag{3.9}$$

which for the monodromy matrices reads:

$$\mathsf{M}_0^{(\mathsf{8V})}(\lambda)S_0(\lambda|\tau)S_q(\tau+\eta\sigma_0^z)=S_q(\tau)S_0(\lambda|\tau+\eta\mathsf{S})\mathsf{M}_0^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda|\tau),\tag{3.10}$$

where:

$$S_0(\lambda|\tau)\equiv\begin{pmatrix}\theta_2(-\lambda+\tau|2w) & \theta_2(\lambda+\tau|2w)\\ \theta_3(-\lambda+\tau|2w) & \theta_3(\lambda+\tau|2w)\end{pmatrix}_0,\tag{3.11}$$

and:

$$S_q(\tau)\equiv S_1(\xi_1|\tau)\cdots S_{\mathsf{N}}(\xi_{\mathsf{N}}|\tau+\eta\sum_{a=1}^{\mathsf{N}-1}\sigma_a^z),\tag{3.12}$$

then the antiperiodic 6-vertex dynamical monodromy matrix is related to the 8-vertex one by the gauge transformation:

$$\mathsf{M}_0^{(8\mathsf{V})}(\lambda)S_0(\lambda|\tau)S_q(\tau+\eta\sigma_0^z)=S_q(\tau)S_0(\lambda|-\tau-\eta\mathsf{S})\bar{\mathsf{M}}_0^{(6\mathsf{VD})}(\lambda|\tau), \tag{3.13}$$

this follows by the definition (2.35) and the gauge transformation (3.10) when we observe that:

$$S_0(\lambda|-\tau)=S_0(-\lambda|\tau)=S_0(\lambda|\tau)\sigma_0^x. \tag{3.14}$$

Then we can prove:

Lemma 3.1. *The 8-vertex transfer matrix has the following left action on the states of* $\bar{\mathbb{D}}^{\mathcal{L}}_{(6\mathsf{VD}),\mathsf{N}}$*:*

$$[S_q(\tau)]^{-1}\,\mathsf{T}^{(8\mathsf{V})}(\lambda)=\mathsf{C}(\lambda|\tau)\,[S_q(\tau+\eta)]^{-1}+\mathsf{B}(\lambda|\tau)\,[S_q(\tau-\eta)]^{-1}, \tag{3.15}$$

and the following right action on the states of $\bar{\mathbb{D}}^{\mathcal{R}}_{(6\mathsf{VD}),\mathsf{N}}$*:*

$$\mathsf{T}^{(8\mathsf{V})}(\lambda)S_q(\tau)=S_q(\tau-\eta)\mathsf{C}(\lambda|\tau-\eta)+S_q(\tau+\eta)\mathsf{B}(\lambda|\tau+\eta). \tag{3.16}$$

Proof. Let us prove first the statement concerning the left action on the states of $\bar{\mathbb{D}}^{\mathcal{L}}_{(6\mathsf{VD}),\mathsf{N}}$. To prove (3.15) it is enough to rewrite (3.13) as it follows:

$$[S_q(\tau)]^{-1}\,\mathsf{M}_0^{(8\mathsf{V})}(\lambda)=S_0(\lambda|-\tau-\eta\mathsf{S})\bar{\mathsf{M}}_0^{(6\mathsf{VD})}(\lambda|\tau)\,[S_q(\tau+\eta\sigma_0^z)]^{-1}\,[S_0(\lambda|\tau)]^{-1}, \tag{3.17}$$

then taking the trace w.r.t. the auxiliary space 0 we get:

$$[S_q(\tau)]^{-1}\,\mathsf{T}^{(8\mathsf{V})}(\lambda)=tr_0\left\{[S_0(\lambda|\tau)]^{-1}\,S_0(\lambda|-\tau-\eta\mathsf{S})\bar{\mathsf{M}}_0^{(6\mathsf{VD})}(\lambda|\tau)\,[S_q(\tau+\eta\sigma_0^z)]^{-1}\right\}, \tag{3.18}$$

where we have used the commutativity:

$$[\bar{\mathsf{M}}_0^{(6\mathsf{VD})}(\lambda|\tau),\tau]=0 \tag{3.19}$$

and the cyclicity of the trace to move $[S_0(\lambda|\tau)]^{-1}$; acting now on any left state of $\bar{\mathbb{D}}_{(6\mathsf{VD}),\mathsf{N}}$, we get our result (3.15) being the eigenvalues of τ and $-\tau-\eta\mathsf{S}$ coinciding on any left state of $\bar{\mathbb{D}}_{(6\mathsf{VD}),\mathsf{N}}$.

To prove (3.16) let us first rewrite the gauge transformation (3.10) as it follows:

$$\begin{aligned}S_0(\lambda+\eta|\tau)S_q(\tau+\eta\sigma_0^z)&\begin{pmatrix}\mathsf{D}(\lambda|\tau+\eta) & -\mathsf{B}(\lambda|\tau+\eta)\\ -\mathsf{C}(\lambda|\tau-\eta) & \mathsf{A}(\lambda|\tau-\eta)\end{pmatrix}\frac{\theta(\tau+\eta\mathsf{S})}{\theta(\tau)}=\\ &=\begin{pmatrix}\mathsf{D}^{(8\mathsf{V})}(\lambda) & -\mathsf{B}^{(8\mathsf{V})}(\lambda)\\ -\mathsf{C}^{(8\mathsf{V})}(\lambda) & \mathsf{A}^{(8\mathsf{V})}(\lambda)\end{pmatrix}S_q(\tau)S_0(\lambda+\eta|\tau+\eta\mathsf{S}),\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

by multiply both sides of (3.10) from the right by the inverse of $\mathsf{M}_0^{(6\mathsf{VD})}(\lambda_1|\tau)$, as defined in (2.27), and from the left for the inverse of $\mathsf{M}_0^{(8\mathsf{V})}(\lambda)$:

$$\left[\mathsf{M}_0^{(8\mathsf{V})}(\lambda)\right]^{-1}=\frac{\sigma_0^y\left[\mathsf{M}_0^{(8\mathsf{V})}(\lambda-\eta)\right]^{t_0}\sigma_0^y}{\mathrm{A}(\lambda)\mathrm{D}(\lambda-\eta)}, \tag{3.21}$$

and finally doing the change of variable $\lambda\rightarrow\lambda+\eta$. The gauge transformation (3.20) can be further rewritten as it follows:

$$\begin{aligned}S_0(\lambda+\eta|-\tau)S_q(\tau-\eta\sigma_0^z)&\begin{pmatrix}-\mathsf{C}(\lambda|\tau-\eta) & \mathsf{A}(\lambda|\tau-\eta)\\ \mathsf{D}(\lambda|\tau+\eta) & -\mathsf{B}(\lambda|\tau+\eta)\end{pmatrix}\frac{\theta(\tau+\eta\mathsf{S})}{\theta(\tau)}=\\ &=\begin{pmatrix}\mathsf{D}^{(8\mathsf{V})}(\lambda) & -\mathsf{B}^{(8\mathsf{V})}(\lambda)\\ -\mathsf{C}^{(8\mathsf{V})}(\lambda) & \mathsf{A}^{(8\mathsf{V})}(\lambda)\end{pmatrix}S_q(\tau)S_0(\lambda+\eta|\tau+\eta\mathsf{S}).\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Following now the same steps described above for the derivation of (3.15) we get our result (3.16). ☐

3.3 Reduction to pure spin quantum space

The construction of gauge transformations on the 8-vertex monodromy matrix for simplifying the analysis of the 8-vertex transfer matrix spectrum has naturally leads to the introduction of the dynamical parameter which in this article has been formalized by the introduction of the dynamical operator. Here, we show that thanks to Lemma 3.1, we can in fact remove this dynamical part and reduce the formulae to operators formulae leaving on the pure quantum spin space. Let us define:

$$\bar{\mathbb{P}}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{L}} \equiv \sum_{\mathsf{s}=-\mathsf{N}}^{\mathsf{N}} |t(\mathsf{s})\rangle, \qquad \bar{\mathbb{P}}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{R}} \equiv \sum_{\mathsf{s}=-\mathsf{N}}^{\mathsf{N}} \langle t(\mathsf{s})|, \tag{3.23}$$

then, by the definition of scalar product given in Section 2.1.1, the action of $\bar{\mathbb{P}}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\bar{\mathbb{P}}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{R}}$ reduces the dynamical-spin covector $\mathbb{D}_{(\mathsf{6VD}),\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and vector $\mathbb{D}_{(\mathsf{6VD}),\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{R}}$ spaces, respectively, to the 2^{N}-dimensional spin covector $\mathbb{S}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and vector $\mathbb{S}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{R}}$ spaces:

$$\mathbb{S}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{L}} = \bar{\mathbb{D}}_{(\mathsf{6VD}),\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{L}} \bar{\mathbb{P}}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{L}}, \quad \mathbb{S}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{R}} = \bar{\mathbb{P}}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{R}} \bar{\mathbb{D}}_{(\mathsf{6VD}),\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{R}}; \tag{3.24}$$

more in detail, on the generic covector and vector of the dynamical-spin basis, the actions read:

$$\otimes_{n=1}^{\mathsf{N}} \langle n, h_n| \otimes \langle t_{\mathrm{h}}| \bar{\mathbb{P}}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{L}} = \otimes_{n=1}^{\mathsf{N}} \langle n, h_n|, \qquad \bar{\mathbb{P}}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{R}} \otimes_{n=1}^{\mathsf{N}} |n, h_n\rangle \otimes |t_{\mathrm{h}}\rangle = \otimes_{n=1}^{\mathsf{N}} |n, h_n\rangle, \tag{3.25}$$

Moreover, let us introduce the pure spin operators $\left[\mathsf{S}_q^{\mathcal{L}}\right]^{-1} \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{S}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{R}})$ and $\mathsf{S}_q^{\mathcal{R}} \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{S}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{R}})$ by defining them by the following actions:

$$\begin{aligned} \otimes_{n=1}^{\mathsf{N}} \langle n, h_n| \left[\mathsf{S}_q^{\mathcal{L}}\right]^{-1} &\equiv \otimes_{n=1}^{\mathsf{N}} \langle n, h_n| \left[S_1(\xi_1| - \frac{\eta}{2}\mathsf{s}_{\mathbf{h}}) \cdots S_{\mathsf{N}}(\xi_{\mathsf{N}}| - \frac{\eta}{2}\mathsf{s}_{\mathbf{h}} + \frac{\eta}{2}\sum_{a=1}^{\mathsf{N}-1} \sigma_n^z) \right]^{-1}, && (3.26) \\ \mathsf{S}_q^{\mathcal{R}} \otimes_{n=1}^{\mathsf{N}} |n, h_n\rangle &\equiv S_1(\xi_1| - \frac{\eta}{2}\mathsf{s}_{\mathbf{h}}) \cdots S_{\mathsf{N}}(\xi_{\mathsf{N}}| - \frac{\eta}{2}\mathsf{s}_{\mathbf{h}} + \frac{\eta}{2}\sum_{a=1}^{\mathsf{N}-1} \sigma_n^z) \otimes_{n=1}^{\mathsf{N}} |n, h_n\rangle, && (3.27) \end{aligned}$$

on the left and right spin basis of the pure spin quantum spaces $\mathbb{S}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{R}}$, respectively, then we have:

Lemma 3.2.
I) On any covector of $\bar{\mathbb{D}}_{(\mathsf{6VD}),\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{L}}$ *it holds:*

$$[S_q(\tau)]^{-1} \bar{\mathbb{P}}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{L}} = \bar{\mathbb{P}}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{L}} \left[\mathsf{S}_q^{\mathcal{L}}\right]^{-1}. \tag{3.28}$$

II) On any vector of $\bar{\mathbb{D}}_{(\mathsf{6VD}),\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{R}}$ *it holds:*

$$\bar{\mathbb{P}}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{R}} S_q(\tau) = \mathsf{S}_q^{\mathcal{R}} \bar{\mathbb{P}}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{R}}. \tag{3.29}$$

Proof. In both the cases it is enough to consider the action on the generic elements (2.41) and (2.42) of the left and right dynamical-spin basis of $\bar{\mathbb{D}}_{(\mathsf{6VD}),\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{R}}$ and use the orthonormality of these states. ☐

Moreover, the following proposition can be proven:

Proposition 3.1.
I) The following identities hold:

$$\mathsf{C}(\lambda|\tau) S_q^{-1}(\tau+\eta) \bar{\mathbb{P}}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{C}(\lambda|\tau) \bar{\mathbb{P}}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{L}} \left[\mathsf{S}_q^{\mathcal{L}}\right]^{-1}, \quad \mathsf{B}(\lambda|\tau) S_q^{-1}(\tau-\eta) \bar{\mathbb{P}}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{B}(\lambda|\tau) \bar{\mathbb{P}}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{L}} \left[\mathsf{S}_q^{\mathcal{L}}\right]^{-1}, \tag{3.30}$$

on any covector of $\bar{\mathbb{D}}^{\mathcal{L}}_{(6\mathsf{VD}),\mathsf{N}}$ *and so it also follows:*

$$\bar{\mathbb{P}}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathsf{N}}\left[\mathsf{S}^{\mathcal{L}}_{q}\right]^{-1}\mathsf{T}^{(8\mathsf{V})}(\lambda)=\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(6\mathsf{VD})}(\lambda|\tau)\bar{\mathbb{P}}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathsf{N}}\left[\mathsf{S}^{\mathcal{L}}_{q}\right]^{-1}. \tag{3.31}$$

II) The following identities hold:

$$\bar{\mathbb{P}}^{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathsf{N}}S_q(\tau-\eta)\mathsf{C}(\lambda|\tau-\eta)=\mathsf{S}^{\mathcal{R}}_{q}\bar{\mathbb{P}}^{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathsf{N}}\mathcal{C}(\lambda|\tau),\quad \bar{\mathbb{P}}^{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathsf{N}}S_q(\tau+\eta)\mathsf{B}(\lambda|\tau+\eta)=\mathsf{S}^{\mathcal{R}}_{q}\bar{\mathbb{P}}^{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathsf{N}}\mathcal{B}(\lambda|\tau), \tag{3.32}$$

on any vector of $\bar{\mathbb{D}}^{\mathcal{R}}_{(6\mathsf{VD}),\mathsf{N}}$ *and so it also follows:*

$$\mathsf{T}^{(8\mathsf{V})}(\lambda)\mathsf{S}^{\mathcal{R}}_{q}\bar{\mathbb{P}}^{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathsf{N}}=\mathsf{S}^{\mathcal{R}}_{q}\bar{\mathbb{P}}^{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathsf{N}}\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(6\mathsf{VD})}(\lambda|\tau). \tag{3.33}$$

Proof. To prove the identities in (3.30) it is enough to prove it on $\langle h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}|\in\bar{\mathbb{D}}^{\mathcal{L}}_{(6\mathsf{VD}),\mathsf{N}}$, the generic element of the left SOV-basis. From the identities:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}(\lambda|\tau)&=\mathsf{C}(\lambda|\tau)\mathsf{T}^{+}_{\tau}=\mathsf{T}^{+}_{\tau}\mathsf{C}(\lambda|\tau-\eta), &(3.34)\\ \mathcal{B}(\lambda|\tau)&=\mathsf{B}(\lambda|\tau)\mathsf{T}^{-}_{\tau}=\mathsf{T}^{-}_{\tau}\mathsf{B}(\lambda|\tau+\eta), &(3.35)\end{aligned}$$

we can write:

$$\mathsf{C}(\lambda|\tau)S_q^{-1}(\tau+\eta)\bar{\mathbb{P}}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathsf{N}}=\mathcal{C}(\lambda|\tau)S_q^{-1}(\tau)\mathsf{T}^{-}_{\tau}\bar{\mathbb{P}}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathsf{N}},\quad \mathsf{B}(\lambda|\tau)S_q^{-1}(\tau-\eta)\bar{\mathbb{P}}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathsf{N}}=\mathcal{B}(\lambda|\tau)S_q^{-1}(\tau)\mathsf{T}^{+}_{\tau}\bar{\mathbb{P}}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathsf{N}}. \tag{3.36}$$

The following identities hold:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}|\mathcal{C}(\lambda|\tau)S_q^{-1}(\tau)\mathsf{T}^{-}_{\tau}\bar{\mathbb{P}}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathsf{N}}&=\langle h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}|\mathcal{C}(\lambda|\tau)S_q^{-1}(t_{\mathbf{h}}+\eta)\sum_{\mathsf{s}=-\mathsf{N}}^{\mathsf{N}}|t(\mathsf{s})+\eta\rangle &(3.37)\\ &=\langle h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}|\mathcal{C}(\lambda|\tau)S_q^{-1}(t_{\mathbf{h}}+\eta)\sum_{\mathsf{s}=-\mathsf{N}}^{\mathsf{N}}|t(\mathsf{s})\rangle &(3.38)\\ &=\langle h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}|\mathcal{C}(\lambda|\tau)S_q^{-1}(\tau)\bar{\mathbb{P}}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathsf{N}} &(3.39)\\ &=\langle h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}|\mathcal{C}(\lambda|\tau)\bar{\mathbb{P}}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathsf{N}}\left[\mathsf{S}^{\mathcal{L}}_{q}\right]^{-1}, &(3.40)\end{aligned}$$

where (3.38) is equal to (3.37) being $\langle h_1=1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}=1|\mathcal{C}(\lambda|\tau)$ zero while the last equality follows directly by the Lemma 3.2 being $\langle h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}|\mathcal{C}(\lambda|\tau)\in\bar{\mathbb{D}}^{\mathcal{L}}_{(6\mathsf{VD}),\mathsf{N}}$. Similarly, the following identities hold:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}|\mathcal{B}(\lambda|\tau)S_q^{-1}(\tau)\mathsf{T}^{+}_{\tau}\bar{\mathbb{P}}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathsf{N}}&=\langle h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}|\mathcal{B}(\lambda|\tau)S_q^{-1}(t_{\mathbf{h}}-\eta)\sum_{\mathsf{s}=-\mathsf{N}}^{\mathsf{N}}|t(\mathsf{s})-\eta\rangle &(3.41)\\ &=\langle h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}|\mathcal{B}(\lambda|\tau)S_q^{-1}(t_{\mathbf{h}}-\eta)\sum_{\mathsf{s}=-\mathsf{N}}^{\mathsf{N}}|t(\mathsf{s})\rangle &(3.42)\\ &=\langle h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}|\mathcal{B}(\lambda|\tau)S_q^{-1}(\tau)\bar{\mathbb{P}}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathsf{N}} &(3.43)\\ &=\langle h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}|\mathcal{B}(\lambda|\tau)\bar{\mathbb{P}}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathsf{N}}\left[\mathsf{S}^{\mathcal{L}}_{q}\right]^{-1} &(3.44)\end{aligned}$$

where (3.42) is equal to (3.41) being $\langle h_1=0,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}=0|\mathcal{B}(\lambda|\tau)$ zero while the last equality follows directly by the Lemma 3.2 being $\langle h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}|\mathcal{B}(\lambda|\tau)\in\bar{\mathbb{D}}^{\mathcal{L}}_{(6\mathsf{VD}),\mathsf{N}}$. The identities in (3.30) are so proven while those in (3.32) are proven similarly. Let us now show the identities (3.31) and (3.33); the following set of equalities hold on $\bar{\mathbb{D}}_{(6\mathsf{VD}),\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{L}}$:

$$\begin{align}
\bar{\mathbb{P}}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{L}}\left[\mathsf{S}_q^{\mathcal{L}}\right]^{-1}\mathsf{T}^{(8\mathsf{V})}(\lambda) &\underset{\text{Lemma } 3.2}{=} [S_q(\tau)]^{-1}\,\mathsf{T}^{(8\mathsf{V})}(\lambda)\bar{\mathbb{P}}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{L}} \tag{3.45}\\
&\underset{\text{Lemma } 3.1}{=} \mathsf{C}(\lambda|\tau)\,[S_q(\tau+\eta)]^{-1}\,\bar{\mathbb{P}}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{L}}+\mathsf{B}(\lambda|\tau)\,[S_q(\tau-\eta)]^{-1}\,\bar{\mathbb{P}}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{L}} \tag{3.46}\\
&\underset{(3.30)}{=} \overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(6\mathsf{VD})}(\lambda|\tau)\bar{\mathbb{P}}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{L}}\left[\mathsf{S}_q^{\mathcal{L}}\right]^{-1}, \tag{3.47}
\end{align}$$

and similarly on $\bar{\mathbb{D}}_{(6\mathsf{VD}),\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{R}}$:

$$\begin{align}
\mathsf{T}^{(8\mathsf{V})}(\lambda)\mathsf{S}_q^{\mathcal{R}}\bar{\mathbb{P}}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{R}} &\underset{\text{Lemma } 3.2}{=} \bar{\mathbb{P}}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{R}}\mathsf{T}^{(8\mathsf{V})}(\lambda)S_q(\tau) \tag{3.48}\\
&\underset{\text{Lemma } 3.1}{=} \bar{\mathbb{P}}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{R}}S_q(\tau-\eta)\mathsf{C}(\lambda|\tau-\eta)+\bar{\mathbb{P}}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{R}}S_q(\tau+\eta)\mathsf{B}(\lambda|\tau+\eta) \tag{3.49}\\
&\underset{(3.32)}{=} \mathsf{S}_q^{\mathcal{R}}\bar{\mathbb{P}}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{R}}\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(6\mathsf{VD})}(\lambda|\tau). \tag{3.50}
\end{align}$$

□

3.4 8-vertex transfer matrix spectrum

The previous similarities transformations allows to present the characterization of the spectrum of the 8-vertex transfer matrix in $\mathbb{S}_{\mathsf{N}}$; in order to do so, let us define the following right and left states in $\mathbb{S}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{L}}$:

$$\begin{align}
\underline{|h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}\rangle} &= \bar{\mathbb{P}}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{R}}|h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}\rangle=\langle t_{\mathbf{h}}|h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}\rangle, \tag{3.51}\\
\underline{\langle h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}|} &= \langle h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}|\bar{\mathbb{P}}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{L}}=\langle h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}|t_{\mathbf{h}}\rangle, \tag{3.52}
\end{align}$$

they define right and left basis of $\mathbb{S}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{L}}$ (clearly as they are obtained by the reduction on pure spin quantum spaces of the SOV right and left basis of $\bar{\mathbb{D}}_{(6\mathsf{VD}),\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{L}}$). Then the following theorem holds:

Theorem 3.1. *The operators* $\mathsf{T}^{(8\mathsf{V})}(\lambda)$ *and* $\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(6\mathsf{VD})}(\lambda|\tau)$ *are isospectral; i.e. they have the same eigenvalue functions:*

$$\mathsf{t}(\lambda)\equiv\mathsf{t}_{8\mathsf{V}}(\lambda)=\mathsf{t}_{6VD}(\lambda)\in\Sigma_{\mathsf{T}^{(8\mathsf{V})}}\equiv\Sigma_{\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(6\mathsf{VD})}}, \tag{3.53}$$

and the corresponding left and right eigenstates of $\mathsf{T}^{(8\mathsf{V})}(\lambda)$*:*

$$\langle\mathsf{t}_{8\mathsf{V}}|\mathsf{T}^{(8\mathsf{V})}(\lambda)=\mathsf{t}(\lambda)\langle\mathsf{t}_{8\mathsf{V}}|,\qquad\mathsf{T}^{(8\mathsf{V})}(\lambda)|\mathsf{t}_{8\mathsf{V}}\rangle=\mathsf{t}(\lambda)|\mathsf{t}_{8\mathsf{V}}\rangle, \tag{3.54}$$

admit the following expression in the pure spin quantum spaces:

$$\begin{align}
|\mathsf{t}_{8V}\rangle &= \mathsf{S}_q^{\mathcal{R}}\left[\sum_{h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}=0}^{1}\prod_{a=1}^{\mathsf{N}}Q_{\mathsf{t}}(\xi_a^{(h_a)})\det_{\mathsf{N}}\Theta_{ij}^{(\boldsymbol{h})}\underline{|h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}\rangle}\right], \tag{3.55}\\
\langle\mathsf{t}_{8V}| &= \left[\sum_{h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}=0}^{1}\prod_{a=1}^{\mathsf{N}}\bar{Q}_{\mathsf{t}}(\xi_a^{(h_a)})\det_{\mathsf{N}}\Theta_{ij}^{(\boldsymbol{h})}\underline{\langle h_1,...,h_{\mathsf{N}}|}\right]\left[\mathsf{S}_q^{\mathcal{L}}\right]^{-1}, \tag{3.56}
\end{align}$$

Proof. Let us remark that the definitions (3.55) and (3.56) are equivalent to:

$$|\mathsf{t}_{8\mathsf{V}}\rangle\equiv\mathsf{S}_q^{\mathcal{R}}\bar{\mathbb{P}}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{R}}|\mathsf{t}_{6\mathsf{VD}}\rangle,\quad\langle\mathsf{t}_{8\mathsf{V}}|\equiv\langle\mathsf{t}_{6\mathsf{VD}}|\bar{\mathbb{P}}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{L}}\left[\mathsf{S}_q^{\mathcal{L}}\right]^{-1}, \tag{3.57}$$

where:

$$\langle \mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{6VD}}|\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda|\tau)=\mathsf{t}(\lambda)\langle \mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{6VD}}|,\quad \overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda|\tau)|\mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{6VD}}\rangle=\mathsf{t}(\lambda)|\mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{6VD}}\rangle, \tag{3.58}$$

and we have used the SOV representations for the right and left eigenstates of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda|\tau)$ in $\bar{\mathbb{D}}_{(\mathsf{6VD}),\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{R}}$ defined in Section 2.3. Then the theorem is a simple consequence of the identities (3.31) and (3.33); indeed, it hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{T}^{(\mathsf{8V})}(\lambda)|\mathsf{t}_{8V}\rangle &= \mathsf{T}^{(\mathsf{8V})}(\lambda)\mathsf{S}_q^{\mathcal{R}}\bar{\mathbb{P}}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{R}}|\mathsf{t}_{6VD}\rangle=\mathsf{S}_q^{\mathcal{R}}\bar{\mathbb{P}}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{R}}\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda|\tau)|\mathsf{t}_{6VD}\rangle=|\mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{8V}}\rangle\mathsf{t}(\lambda), && (3.59)\\
\langle \mathsf{t}_{8V}|\mathsf{T}^{(\mathsf{8V})}(\lambda) &= \langle \mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{6VD}}|\bar{\mathbb{P}}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{L}}\left[\mathsf{S}_q^{\mathcal{L}}\right]^{-1}\mathsf{T}^{(\mathsf{8V})}(\lambda)=\langle \mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{6VD}}|\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}(\lambda|\tau)\bar{\mathbb{P}}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathcal{L}}\left[\mathsf{S}_q^{\mathcal{L}}\right]^{-1}=\mathsf{t}(\lambda)\langle \mathsf{t}_{8V}|. && (3.60)
\end{aligned}$$

□

Let us complete this section remarking that w.r.t. the left and right $\mathsf{T}^{(\mathsf{8V})}$-eigenstates the matrix elements which are elementary are those of the operator $\mathrm{W}\equiv \mathsf{S}_q^{\mathcal{L}}\left[\mathsf{S}_q^{\mathcal{R}}\right]^{-1}$, which for the previous theorem coincide with:

$$\langle \mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{8V}}|\mathsf{W}|\mathsf{t}'_{\mathsf{8V}}\rangle=\langle \mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{6VD}}|\mathsf{t}'_{\mathsf{6VD}}\rangle, \tag{3.61}$$

that is they coincide with matrix elements of the identity w.r.t. left and right $\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{(\mathsf{6VD})}$-eigenstates.

4 Conclusion

In this paper we have focused our attention on the highest weight representations of the dynamical 6-vertex Yang-Baxter algebra on a generic N-sites spin-1/2 quantum chain and studied the integrable quantum model associated to the antiperiodic boundary conditions in the framework of the SOV method. Moreover, we have shown the existence of gauge transformations which allow to use this antiperiodic dynamical 6-vertex transfer matrix as a tool to solve the spectral problem of the periodic 8-vertex transfer matrix. It is important to underline that in the SOV approach the analysis is done without any need to introduce constrains like the number of sites N even and the coupling constant η corresponding to the *elliptic roots of unit*. For these integrable quantum models, we have derived:

- The complete SOV description of transfer matrix eigenvalues and eigenstates and the simplicity of the spectrum.
- Matrix elements of the identity on separate states expressed by one determinant formulae of $\mathsf{N}\times\mathsf{N}$ matrices with elements given by sums over the eigenvalues of the quantum separate variables of the product of the coefficients of the left/right separate states, which holds in particular for the eigenstates of antiperiodic dynamical 6-vertex transfer matrix.

The results derived in this paper provide the required setup to compute matrix elements on transfer matrix eigenstates of local operators for both the models in consideration. The analysis of the following steps:

- local operator reconstructions in terms of Sklyanin's quantum separate variables,
- form factors of the local operators on the transfer matrix eigenstates in determinant form,

will be presented in a paper which is currently under completion in collaboration with Levy-Bencheton and Terras [158]. The study of correlation functions done in [159] for the periodic dynamical 6-vertex chain even if developed in the framework of the algebraic Bethe ansatz is relevant also for the current analysis. Indeed, the reconstruction of local operators of [159] can be adapted to the antiperiodic dynamical 6-vertex chain to get reconstruction of local operators in terms of the quantum separate variables. Then the computation of the form factors both for the dynamical 6-vertex and 8-vertex proceed in a similar way to that of the standard 6-vertex quantum chain with antiperiodic boundary conditions as derived in [3] in the SOV framework. Finally, it is worth mentioning that the knowledge of the form factors of local operators represents also an efficient tools for controlled numerical analysis of correlation functions. Indeed, the decomposition of the identity (2.131) allows to rewrite the correlation functions in terms of form factors and then it is a priori possible to apply the same kind of approach developed in [160] in the ABA framework[22] also in our SOV framework to get numerical evaluations of correlation functions.

Acknowledgments The author gratefully acknowledge B. McCoy for the many stimulating discussions on the 8-vertex model and the interesting questions on quantum separation of variables which have strongly inspired and motivated the author to develop the present paper. The author would also like to thank N. Kitanine, K. K. Kozlowski and J. M. Maillet for their interest and D. Levy-Bencheton and V. Terras for their interest, attentive reading and remarks on a first draft of this paper. The author is supported by National Science Foundation grants PHY-0969739 and gratefully acknowledges the YITP Institute of Stony Brook for the opportunity to develop his research programs. The author would also like to thank for their hospitality the Theoretical Physics Group of the Laboratory of Physics at ENS-Lyon and the Mathematical Physics Group at IMB of the Dijon University (under support ANR-10-BLAN-0120-04-DIADEMS).

References

[1] N. Grosjean, J. M. Maillet, G. Niccoli, *On form factors of local operators in the lattice sine-Gordon model*, arXiv:1204.6307.

[2] N. Grosjean, J. M. Maillet, G. Niccoli, *On form factors of local operators in the τ_2-model and the chiral Potts model*, to appear.

[3] G. Niccoli, *Antiperiodic spin-1/2 XXZ quantum chains by separation of variables: Form factors and complete spectrum*, arXiv:1205.4537.

[4] G. Niccoli, *Form factors and complete spectrum of XXX antiperiodic higher spin chains by quantum separation of variables*, arXiv:1206.2418.

[5] G. Niccoli, *Non-diagonal open spin-1/2 XXZ quantum chain by separation of variables: Complete spectrum and matrix elements of some quasi-local operators*, arXiv:1206.0646.

[6] E. K. Sklyanin and L. D. Faddeev, Sov. Phys. Dokl. **23** (1978) 902.

[7] E. K. Sklyanin and L. A. Takhtajan, L. D. Faddeev, Theor. Math. Phys. **40** (1980) 688.

[8] L. A. Takhtajan, L. D. Faddeev, Russ. Math. Surv. **34** : 5 (1979) 11.

[9] E. K. Sklyanin, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **244** (1979) 1337; Sov. Phys. Dokl. **24** (1979) 107.

[10] P. P. Kulish and E. K. Sklyanin, Phys. Lett. A **70** (1979) 461.

[11] L. D. Faddeev, Sov. Sci. Rev. Math. Cl (1980) 107.

[12] E. K. Sklyanin, J. Sov. Math. **19** (1982) 1546.

[13] L. D. Faddeev, Les Houches lectures of 1982, Elsevier Sci. Publ. **563** (1984).

[14] L. D. Faddeev, *How Algebraic Bethe Ansatz works for integrable model*, hep-th/9605187v1.

[15] M. Jimbo, Adv. Series in Math. Phys. **10**, Singapore, World Scientific, (1990).

[16] P. P. Kulish and E. K. Sklyanin, Lect. Notes in Phys. **151** (1982) 61.

[17] B. S. Shastry, Lect. Notes in Phys. **242** (1985).

[18] H. B. Thacker, Rev. Mod. Phys. **53** (1982) 253.

[19] A. G. Izergin and V. E. Korepin, Nucl. Phys. B **205** (1982) 401.

[20] G. Felder. *Elliptic Quantum Groups*. In Xlth International Congress of Mathematical Physics, Paris 1994 (D- Ialgonitzer, ed.), International Press (1995) 211.

[21] G. Felder and A. Varchenko, Comm. Math. Phys. **181** (1996) 741.

---

[22] See the series of papers [160]-[166] where the dynamical structure factors, observable by neutron scattering experiments [167]-[173], were numerically evaluated.

[22] R. J. Baxter, Ann. Phys. **76** (1973) 25.
[23] R. J. Baxter, Ann. Phys. **76** (1973) 1.
[24] R. J. Baxter, Ann. Phys. **76** (1973) 48.
[25] G. Felder and A. Varchenko, Nucl. Phys. B **480** (1996) 485.
[26] M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, M. Okado, Comm. Math. Phys. **116** (1988) 507.
[27] W. Heisenberg, Z. Phys. **49** (1928) 619.
[28] H. Bethe, 1931 Z. Phys. **71** 205.
[29] L. Hulthen, Ark. Mat. Astron. Fys. **26** (1938) 1.
[30] R. Orbach, Phys. Rev. **112** (1958) 309.
[31] L. R. Walker, Phys. Rev. **116** (1959) 1089.
[32] C. N. Yang and C. P. Yang, Phys. Rev. **150** (1966) 321.
[33] C. N. Yang and C. P. Yang, Phys. Rev. **150** (1966) 327.
[34] M. Gaudin, *La Fonction d'onde de Bethe*, Paris: Masson (1983).
[35] E. H. Lieb and D. C. Mattis, *Mathematical Physics in One Dimension*, New-York: Academic (1966).
[36] R. J. Baxter, Ann. Phys. **70** (1972) 193.
[37] K. Fabricius and B. M. McCoy, J. Stat. Phys. **111** (2003) 323.
[38] K. Fabricius and B. M. McCoy, *Functional equations and fusion matrices for the eight vertex model*, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **40** (2004) 905.
[39] K. Fabricius and B. M. McCoy, J. Stat. Phys. **120** (2005) 37.
[40] K. Fabricius, J. Phys. A **40** (2007) 4075.
[41] K. Fabricius, and B. M. McCoy, J. Phys. A **40** (2007) 14893.
[42] K. Fabricius, B. M. McCoy, J. Stat. Phys. **134** (2009) 643.
[43] R. J. Baxter, J. Statist. Phys. **108** (2002) 1.
[44] N. A. Slavnov, Theor. Math. Phys. **79** (1989) 502.
[45] N. A. Slavnov, Zap. Nauchn. Semin. POMI **245** (1997) 270.
[46] N. Kitanine, J. M. Maillet, and V. Terras, Nucl. Phys. B **554** (1999) 647.
[47] E. K. Sklyanin, Lect. Notes Phys. **226** (1985) 196; E. K. Sklyanin J. Sov. Math. **31** (1985) 3417.
[48] E. K. Sklyanin, *Quantum inverse scattering method. Selected topics*. In: Quantum groups and quantum integrable systems (World Scientific, 1992) 63, arXiv:hep-th/9211111v1.
[49] E. K. Sklyanin, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **118** (1995) 35.
[50] E. Date, M. Jimbo, A. Kuniba, T. Miwa, M. Okado. *Exactly Solvable SOS-Models II: Proof of the Star-Triangle-Relation and Combinatorial Identities*, in Adv. Stud. in Pure Math. **16** M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, A. Tsuchiya ed. Academic Press (1988) 17.
[51] G. Felder and A. Schorr, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **32** (1999) 8001.
[52] A. Schorr, *Separation of variables for the eight-vertex SOS model with antiperiodic boundary conditions*, http://e-collection.library.ethz.ch/eserv/eth:23538/eth-23538-02.pdf.
[53] J. M. Maillet and V. Terras, Nucl. Phys. B **575** (2000) 627.
[54] A. G. Izergin, N. Kitanine, J. M. Maillet, and V. Terras, Nucl. Phys. B **554** (1999) 679.
[55] N. Kitanine, J. M. Maillet, and V. Terras, Nucl. Phys. B **567** (2000) 544.
[56] N. Kitanine, J. M. Maillet, N. A. Slavnov, and V. Terras, Nucl. Phys. B **641** (2002) 487.
[57] N. Kitanine, J. M. Maillet, N. A. Slavnov, and V. Terras, Nucl. Phys. B **642** (2002) 433.
[58] N. Kitanine, J. M. Maillet, N. A. Slavnov, and V. Terras, J. Phys. A **35** (2002) L385 .
[59] N. Kitanine, J. M. Maillet, N. A. Slavnov, and V. Terras, J. Phys. A **35** (2002) L753.
[60] N. Kitanine, J. M. Maillet, N. A. Slavnov, and V. Terras, Nucl. Phys. B **712** (2005) 600.
[61] N. Kitanine, J. M. Maillet, N. A. Slavnov, and V. Terras, Nucl. Phys. B **729** (2005) 558.
[62] N. Kitanine, J. M. Maillet, N. A. Slavnov, and V. Terras, J. Phys. A **38** (2005) 7441.
[63] N. Kitanine, J. M. Maillet, N. A. Slavnov, and V. Terras, J. Stat. Mech. L09002 (2005).
[64] N. Kitanine, J. M. Maillet, N. A. Slavnov, and V. Terras, *On the algebraic Bethe Ansatz approach to the correlation functions of the XXZ spin-1/2 Heisenberg chain*, In Recent Progress in Solvable lattice Models, RIMS Sciences Project Research 2004 on *Method of Algebraic Analysis in Integrable Systems*, RIMS, Kyoto, Kokyuroku, **1480** (2006) 14; hep-th/0505006.

[65] N. Kitanine, K. Kozlowski, J. M. Maillet, N. A. Slavnov, V. Terras, J. Stat. Mech. P01022 (2007).

[66] N. Kitanine, K. K. Kozlowski, J. M. Maillet, G. Niccoli, N. A. Slavnov, V. Terras , J. Stat. Mech. (2007) P10009.

[67] K. K. Kozlowski, J. Stat. Mech. (2008) P02006.

[68] N. Kitanine, K. Kozlowski, J. M. Maillet, G. Niccoli, N. A. Slavnov, V. Terras, J. Stat. Mech. (2008) P07010.

[69] R. J. Baxter, *Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Mechanics*, Academic Press, New York (1982).

[70] F. C. Alcaraz, M. N. Barber, M. T. Batchelor, R. J. Baxter and G. R. W. Quispel, J. Phys. A **20** (1987) 6397.

[71] N. Yu Reshetikhin, Lett. Math. Phys. **7** (1983) 205.

[72] N. Yu Reshetikhin, Sov. Phys. JETP **57** (1983) 691.

[73] M. T. Batchelor, R. J. Baxter, M. J. O'Rourke, C. M. Yung, J. Phys. A **28** (1995) 2759.

[74] S. Niekamp, T. Wirth, H. Frahm, J. Phys. A **42** (2009) 195008.

[75] N. Kitanine, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **34** (2001) 8151.

[76] O. A. Castro-Alvaredo, J. M. Maillet, J. Phys. A **40** (2007) 7451.

[77] V. V. Bazhanov, Yu G. Stroganov, J. Stat. Phys. **59** (1990) 799.

[78] R. J. Baxter, V. V. Bazhanov and J. H. H. Perk, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **B4** (1990) 803.

[79] R. J. Baxter, J. Stat. Phys. **57** (1989) 1.

[80] G. Albertini, B. M. McCoy and J. H. H. Perk, Adv. Study in Pure Math. **19** (1989) 1.

[81] G. Albertini, B. M. McCoy and J. H. H. Perk, Phys. Lett. **A 135** (1989) 159.

[82] G. Albertini, B. M. McCoy and J. H. H. Perk, Phys. Lett. A **139** (1989) 204.

[83] R. J. Baxter, J. H. H. Perk and H. Au-Yang, Phys. Lett. A **128** (1988) 138; H. Au-Yang and J. H. H. Perk, *Onsager's star triangle equation: Master key to the integrability*, in Adv. Stud. in Pure Math. **19** (1989) Kinokuniya-Academic.

[84] R. J. Baxter, Phys. Lett. A **133** (1989) 185.

[85] H. Au-Yang, B. M. McCoy, J. H. H. Perk, S. Tang, and M. Yan, Phys. Lett. A **123** (1987) 219.

[86] B. M. McCoy, J. H. H. Perk, S. Tang, and C. H. Sah, Phys. Lett. A **125** (1987) 9.

[87] H. Au-Yang, B. M. McCoy, J. H. H. Perk, and S. Tang, *Algebraic Analysis* **1** M. Kashiwara and T. Kawai eds Academic Press, New York (1988).

[88] V. O. Tarasov Phys. Lett. A **147** (1990) 487.

[89] R. J. Baxter, J. Stat. Phys. **117** (2004) 1.

[90] G. Niccoli and J. Teschner, J. Stat. Mech. (2010) P09014.

[91] G. Niccoli, Nucl. Phys. B **835** (2010) 263.

[92] G. Niccoli, JHEP (2011) 1103:123.

[93] G. von Gehlen, N. Iorgov, S. Pakuliak and V. Shadura, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **39** (2006) 7257.

[94] G. von Gehlen, N. Iorgov, S. Pakuliak, V. Shadura and Yu Tykhyy, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **40** (2007) 14117.

[95] G. von Gehlen, N. Iorgov, S. Pakuliak, V. Shadura and Yu Tykhyy, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **41** (2008) 095003.

[96] G von Gehlen, N Iorgov, S Pakuliak, V Shadura, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **42** (2009) 304026.

[97] N. Grosjean and G. Niccoli, *The τ_2-model and the chiral Potts model revisited: Completeness of Bethe equations originated from Sklyanin SOV*, arXiv:1205.4614.

[98] M. Gaudin , Phys. Rev. A **4** (1971) 386.

[99] E. K. Sklyanin, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **21** (1988) 2375.

[100] I. V. Cherednik, Theor. Math. Phys. **61** (1984) 977.

[101] P. P. Kulish and E. K. Sklyanin, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **24** (1991) L435.

[102] L. Mezincescu and R. Nepomechie, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **6** (1991) 5231.

[103] P. P. Kulish and E. K. Sklyanin, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **25** (1992) 5963.

[104] S. Ghoshal and A. B. Zamolodchikov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **9** (1994) 3841.

[105] S. Ghoshal and A. B. Zamolodchikov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **9** (1994) 4353.

[106] F. Smirnov, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **31** (1998) 8953.

[107] O. Babelon, J. Phys. A **37** (2004) 303.

[108] M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, F. Smirnov, Lett. Math. Phys. **96** (2011) 325.

[109] M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, F. Smirnov, J. Phys. A **42** (2009) 304018.

[110] H. Boos, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, F. Smirnov, *Hidden Grassmann Structure in the XXZ Model IV: CFT limit*, arXiv:0911.3731.

[111] M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, F. Smirnov, Nucl. Phys. B **852** (2011) 390.

[112] M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, F. Smirnov, *Fermionic screening operators in the sine-Gordon model*, arXiv:1103.1534.

[113] A. B. Zamolodchikov, Pis. Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **25** (1977) 499; A. B. Zamolodchikov, Comm. Math. Phys. *55* (1977) 183.

[114] A. B. Zamolodchikov, Al. B. Zamolodchikov, Ann. Phys. **120** (1979) 253.

[115] M. Karowski and H. J. Thun, Nucl. Phys. B **130** (1977) 295.

[116] V. E. Korepin, Comm. Math. Phys. **76** (1980) 165.

[117] G. Mussardo, Phys. Rep. **218** (1992) 215.

[118] O. Babelon, D. Bernard, F. Smirnov, Comm. Math. Phys. **182** (1996) 319.

[119] O. Babelon, D. Bernard, F. Smirnov, Comm. Math. Phys. **186** (1997) 601.

[120] A. B. Zamolodchikov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **3** (1988) 743.

[121] A. B. Zamolodchikov, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **19** (1989) 641.

[122] Al. B. Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. B **348** (1991) 619.

[123] R. Guida, N. Magnoli, Nucl. Phys. B **471** (1996) 361.

[124] M. A. Virasoro, Phys. Rev. D **1** (1970) 2933.

[125] A. A. Belavin, A. M. Polyakov, A. B. Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. B **241** (1984) 333.

[126] P. Ginsparg, *Applied Conformal Field Theory* , in: Fields, Strings and Critical Phenomena, Les Houches Lecture Notes 1988, eds. E. Brézin and J. Zinn-Justin, Elsevier, New York (1989).

[127] J. L. Cardy, *Conformal Invariance and Statistical Mechanics*, in Fields, Strings and Critical Phenomena, ed. E. Brézin and J. Zinn-Justin, Les Houches (1988), Session XLIX North-Holland, Amsterdam (1990) 169.

[128] P. Di Francesco, P. Mathieu and D. Sénéchal, *Conformal Field Theory*, Springer, New York (1997).

[129] M. Karowski, P. Weisz, Nucl. Phys. B **139** (1978) 455.

[130] F. A. Smirnov, Physica A **3** (1988) 743.

[131] F. A. Smirnov, *Form Factors in Completely Integrable Models of Quantum Field Theory*, World Scientific, (1992).

[132] F. A. Smirnov, Nucl. Phys. B **337** (1990) 156.

[133] A. Fring, G. Mussardo and P. Simonetti, Nucl. Phys. B **393** (1993) 413.

[134] A. Fring, G. Mussardo and P. Simonetti, Phys. Lett. B **307** (1993) 83.

[135] A. Koubek and G. Mussardo, Phys. Lett. B **311** (1993) 193.

[136] G. Mussardo and P. Simonetti, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **9** (1994) 3307.

[137] A. Koubek, Nucl. Phys. B **428** (1994) 655.

[138] G. Delfino and G. Mussardo, Nucl. Phys. B **455** (1995) 724.

[139] G. Delfino, P. Simonetti and J. L. Cardy, Phys. Lett. B **387** (1996) 327.

[140] H. Babujian, A. Fring, M. Karowski and A. Zapletal, Nucl. Phys. B **538** (1999) 535.

[141] S. Lukyanov and A. Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. B **607** (2001) 437.

[142] H. Babujian and M. Karowski, Nucl. Phys. B **620** (2002) 407.

[143] H. Babujian and M. Karowski, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **35** (2002) 9081.

[144] G. Delfino, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **37** (2004) R45.

[145] J. L. Cardy and G. Mussardo, Nucl. Phys. B **340** (1990) 387.

[146] A. Koubek, Nucl. Phys. B **435** (1995) 703.

[147] F. Smirnov, Nucl. Phys. B **453** (1995) 807.

[148] M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, Y. Takeyama, *Counting minimal form factors of the restricted sine-Gordon model*, arXiv:math-ph/0303059v6.

[149] G. Delfino and G. Niccoli, Nucl. Phys. B **707** (2005) 381.

[150] G. Delfino and G. Niccoli, J. Stat. Mech. (2005) P04004.

[151] G. Delfino and G. Niccoli, JHEP 05 (2006) 035.

[152] G. Delfino and G. Niccoli, Nucl. Phys. B **799** (2008) 364.

[153] G. Delfino, Nucl. Phys. B **807** (2009) 455.

[154] A. G. Izergin and V. E. Korepin, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **259** (1981) 76.

[155] A. G. Izergin and V. E. Korepin, *A lattice model related to the nonlinear Schroedinger equation*, arXiv:0910.0295.

[156] I.S. Gradshteyn and I.M. Ryzhik; Alan Jeffrey, Daniel Zwillinger, editors. *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*, seventh edition. Academic Press (2007).

[157] S. Pakuliak, V. Rubtsov and A. Silantyev, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **41** (2008) 295204.

[158] D. Levy-Bencheton, G. Niccoli and V. Terras, *Antiperiodic dynamical 6-vertex and periodic 8-vertex models: Form factors by separation of variables*, to appear.

[159] D. Levy-Bencheton, V. Terras, private communications.

[160] J.-S. Caux, J. M. Maillet, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95** (2005) 077201.

[161] J.-S. Caux, R. Hagemans, J. M. Maillet, J. Stat. Mech. (2005) P09003.

[162] R. G. Pereira, J. Sirker, J.-S. Caux, R. Hagemans, J. M. Maillet, S. R. White, I. Affleck, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96** (2006) 257202.

[163] R. Hagemans, J.-S. Caux, J. M. Maillet, Proceedings of the ”Tenth Training Course in the Physics of Correlated Electron Systems and High-Tc Superconductors”, Salerno, Oct 2005, AIP Conference Proceedings **846** (2006) 245.

[164] R. G. Pereira, J. Sirker, J.-S. Caux, R. Hagemans, J. M. Maillet, S. R. White, I. Affleck, J. Stat. Mech. (2007) P08022.

[165] J. Sirker, R. G. Pereira, J.-S. Caux, R. Hagemans, J. M. Maillet, S. R. White, I. Affleck, Physica B **403** (2008) 1520.

[166] J. S. Caux, P. Calabrese and N. A. Slavnov, J. Stat. Mech. (2007) P01008.

[167] F. Bloch, Phys. Rev. **50** (1936) 259.

[168] J. S. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. **51** (1937) 544.

[169] O. Halpern and M. H. Johnson, Phys. Rev. **55** (1938) 898.

[170] L. Van Hove, Phys. Rev. **95** (1954) 249.

[171] L. Van Hove, Phys. Rev. **95** (1954) 1374.

[172] W. Marshall and S. W. Lovesey, *Theory of Thermal Neutron Scattering*, Oxford: Academic (1971).

[173] R. Balescu, *Equilibrium and Nonequilibrium Statistical Mechanics*, New York: Wiley (1975).